



Warning against tender scams: The WRC urges members of the public to report any suspicious Request for Quotation, Purchase Order or Letter of Award to its Fraud Hotline on 0800 214 777 or email: hotline@kpmg.co.za. If a request or procurement communication appears to be suspicious Suppliers are advised to contact the WRC Supply Chain Management office on 012 761 9300 to verify its authenticity

PART A INVITATION TO BID (SBD1)

RFQ/P NUMBER:	036/07/26-27	CLOSING DATE:	31 July 2026	CLOSING TIME:	11h00
DESCRIPTION OF GOODS/SERVICES	REISSUE - APPOINTMENT OF A SERVICE PROVIDER FOR THE PROVISION OF THE LAYOUT OF WATER SA FOR 10 ISSUES/24 MONTHS				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE EMAILED TO: quotations@wrc.org.za (No hand delivered quotation or sent to a different email than the one specified shall not be accepted)					
RFQ VALIDITY PERIOD: 90 DAYS					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Supply Chain Management		CONTACT PERSON	Supply Chain Management	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	012 761 9300		TELEPHONE NUMBER	012 761 9300	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	quotations@wrc.org.za		E-MAIL ADDRESS	quotations@wrc.org.za	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					
E-MAIL ADDRESS					
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER					
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No		B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT		[TICK APPLICABLE BOX] ☐ Yes ☐ No
[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES & QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE SPECIFIC GOALS POINTS]					
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER PART B:3]	
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS					
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?			☐ YES ☐ NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?			☐ YES ☐ NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?			☐ YES ☐ NO		
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?			☐ YES ☐ NO		
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?			☐ YES ☐ NO		
IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.					



PART B TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:	
1.1.	BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
1.2.	ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED– (NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.
1.3.	THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
1.4.	THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).
2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS	
2.1	BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
2.2	BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
2.3	APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA .
2.4	BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
2.5	IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
2.6	WHERE NO TCS IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
2.7	NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:
(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:



PART C STANDARD BIDDING DOCUMENTS

(SBD FORMS - SBD4, SBD6.1 (where applicable), should be fully completed and signed by the supplier/service provider). WRC reserves the right not to accept/eliminate/disqualify a quotation not accompanied by the completed prescribed SBD forms.

SBD4

BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.



.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bi

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.



SBD 6.1

PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

(a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

(b) **80/20 preference point system** will be applicable in this tender. The acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once lowest/ highest tenders are received.

1.3 **Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:**

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.



- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad P_s = 90 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where

- P_s = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
- P_t = Price of tender under consideration
- P_{min} = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:



$$P_s = 80 \left(1 + \frac{P_t - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right) \text{ or } P_s = 90 \left(1 + \frac{P_t - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)$$

Where

- P_s = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
 P_t = Price of tender under consideration
 P_{max} = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,

then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.)

Criteria	Weight	Sub-criteria
Total Price	80/100	Benchmark against lowest quote
Specific Goal	20/100	Specific Goals points will be awarded to bidders according to their size of the firm as follows: • Woman owned Business or Youth Owned Businesses or Businesses owned by people with disabilities: a. 10 points to be awarded to Woman owned Business or Youth Owned Businesses or Businesses owned by



people with disabilities (30% and above ownership %).

- B-BBEE Certificate:**
 - 10 points to be awarded to bidders based on the BBEE status level of contributor as indicated in the BBEE accreditation certification in line with the table indicated below.**

BBEE LEVELS	SCORES
Level 1	10
Level 2	8
Level 3	6
Level 4	4
Level 5	2

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender - Woman owned enterprises or Youth Owned enterprises or enterprises owned by people with disabilities (30% and above ownership %) and B-BBEE Status Level Of Contributor	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Woman owned enterprises or Youth Owned enterprises or enterprises owned by people with disabilities (30% and above ownership %)	10 Points	
B-BBEE Status Level	10 Points	
1	10	
2	8	
3	6	
4	4	
5	2	
Non-compliant contributor	0	

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

- 4.3. Name of company/firm.....
- 4.4. Company registration number:
- 4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM



- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
 - ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
 - ☐ Close corporation
 - ☐ Public Company
 - ☐ Personal Liability Company
 - ☐ (Pty) Limited
 - ☐ Non-Profit Company
 - ☐ State Owned Company
- [TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

.....
SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)

SURNAME AND NAME:

DATE:

ADDRESS:

.....

.....

.....



PART D

TERMS OF REFERENCE / SCOPE OF WORK

1. BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

The WRC operates as legislated by the Water Research Act (Act 34 of 1971) and is an entity of national importance to ensure water security, support to the DWS and water sector stakeholders through funding of research entities (universities, consultants and SMMEs). The Primary Function of the WRC is to (1) Promote coordination, cooperation, and communication in water research; (2) Establish water research needs and priorities; (3) Stimulate and fund water research according to priority; (4) Promote the effective transfer of information and technology; and (5) Enhance knowledge and capacity building within the water sector.

2. SCOPE OF WORK: REQUIREMENT / SPECIFICATION

Water SA is a scholarly journal, published online by the Water Research Commission. Quotes are requested for the layout of the journal over the period 1 August 2026 to 31 July 2028 (10 issues – Water SA is a quarterly publication, and one special issue per year is also included in this quotation). Prices must be valid for the full period. The quote must include estimated turnaround time, i.e., the time it takes to deliver the PDF from receipt of the article.

The layout of Water SA is currently done in Adobe InDesign, according to a specific style; a sample of the current style in Adobe InDesign will be provided.

Articles are supplied in MS Word. Images are usually embedded in the document or sent separately in their original format, e.g., Excel or JPG. Images are converted to a suitable format for InDesign and are never redrawn, but minor editing of images (e.g. removal of heading text) may on occasion be requested. Equations created in Word or inserted using equation-building software must be redrawn in layout. After layout, the article is converted to PDF and sent to the Editor, who sends it to the author(s) for approval. Minor corrections to content and layout may be requested by the Editor prior to publication.

As the scope of Water SA includes mathematical and physical sciences, articles may contain, in addition to a number of figures and tables, equations (often inserted by the authors using equation-building tools), chemical formulae, subscripts, superscripts, and several italicised words and phrases.

The following quantities should be taken into account in the quotation:

Number of issues: 10 (Oct 2026, Jan 2027, Apr 2027, Jul 2027, Oct 2027, Jan 2028, Apr 2028, July 2028, Special Issue 2026/27, Special Issue 2027/28)

Number of pages: Will vary per issue. Number of articles varies from **9 to 22 articles (average length 9 A4 pages) per issue; 4 issues (average length 170 A4 pages)** are published per year. Please provide quote for **10 ISSUES of 170 PAGES PER ISSUE** and indicate cost to be charged for additional pages.



Additional layout: Layout is also required for one Table of Contents page per issue, and for one Reviewers page per January issue (two January issues are included in this call for quotations).

Time of delivery: Publication of the full issue takes place in January, April, July or October (usually in the last week of the month). Completion of layout will be expected within 10 working days of receipt of the article.

We supply: Documents in MS Word (via e-mail). Figures are either embedded in the Word document or supplied separately.

You Supply: (via e-mail) Individual articles in PDF; individual articles in Adobe InDesign; one PDF for the full issue (book) per issue (for online publication only)

Note: Invoicing will be per issue, i.e., after completion of the entire issue with all of the above products supplied.

Three examples of published articles are attached.

WRC address: 1609 Michael Thackeray, The Innovation Hub, Persequor, Tshwane, 0020.

3. Proposed Evaluation Phases

It is proposed that the bid be evaluated in accordance with the PPPFMA as follows:

Phase 1: Screening of minimum requirement criteria – only bidders that have complied with the minimum screening requirements may be eligible for further evaluation.

Phase 2: Technical capacity evaluation – only bidders that meet the cut-off mark of 80% of 100 points on the functionality will progress to the next evaluation phase.

Phase 3: Price and preference (B-BBEE) evaluation phase – Price on 80 points and Specific Goals on 20 points.

Phase 4: Due diligence – the WRC reserves the right to conduct a due diligence exercise on the top 2 or more bidders that scored the highest points in commercial evaluation.

Phase 1: Screening of minimum requirement criteria

- Bid forms must be properly received on the bid closing date and time specified on the invitation, fully completed, dated and signed in ink.
- Bid forms must be properly and fully completed, dated, signed in ink and initial every page of the bid.
- Submitted bid document must be bound and is without tearing any pages off.
- Invitation to Bid (SBD 1) must be fully completed,
- Submission of a Valid SARS Tax Clearance Certificate together with Supplier SARS Tax Compliance Status Verification PIN to enable Water Research Commission to verify Tax Compliance status on SARS eFiling. NB: Bidders whose tax matters are not in order will not be considered for this bid.
- Submission of fully completed SBD 4 (Declaration of Interest),
- Submission of fully completed SBD 6.1 (Preference Claim Certificate),



- Submission of the original or certified B-BBEE Status Level Verification Certificate or original B-BBEE Sworn Affidavit in case of EME and QSE)
- Business Registration Certificate e.g. CK 1, certificate of incorporation
- Familiarise yourself and Initial every page of the General Condition of Contract
- Service providers must be registered on CSD prior to submission of the tender document to the WRC. Submission of Central Supplier Database (CSD) Registration Report to confirm compliance.

NB: Any bidders who did not sign and submit any of the requested documents may be disqualified.

Phase 2: Technical capacity evaluation

1. Qualification threshold

Bidders must achieve 80% per the criteria below to be considered for Phase 3 of the evaluation. Bidders who fail to comply with the set minimum threshold of 80% per the requirements below WILL be eliminated, and bidders who comply with the requirements below will progress to the next stage of evaluation.

In this stage, the following criteria, evidence and weightings shall be applicable, and the maximum points for each criterion are indicated in the table below. Bidders scoring 80% of 100 points on technical capacity criteria will be further evaluated on Price and Special Goals.

Bidders who do not achieve the minimum of 80% of 100 points on technical criteria will be considered non-responsive and will be eliminated.

Bidders must supply the required evidence indicated in the table with their quotation. Evidence that is not submitted at the time of quotation cannot be considered.

Evaluation criterion	Evidence required	Scoring (score out of 5)	Weighting (%)
1. Satisfaction with journal layout service reported by previous clients (journal editors) after one full year of layout service provision	• Reference letter from a scholarly journal editor, on organisation's/publisher's letterhead; a scholarly journal is defined for this purpose as a peer-reviewed periodical publication in which research pertaining to a particular academic discipline is published	(0) No reference letter provided from a journal editor relating to layout of a scholarly journal (1) Reference letter provided from a journal editor relating to layout of a scholarly journal, but does not indicate that layout of a scholarly journal was provided for at least one full year (2) Reference letter provided from a journal editor relating to layout of a scholarly journal for at least one full year, but letter not on organisation's/publisher's letterhead (3) Reference letter on organisation's/publisher's letterhead provided from a journal editor relating to layout of a scholarly journal for at least one full year, but does not indicate satisfaction of editor/publisher with layout service	5

		provided (4) Reference letter on organisation's/publisher's letterhead provided from a journal editor relating to layout of a scholarly journal for at least one full year, which reports satisfaction of editor with layout service provided (5) Reference letter on organisation's/publisher's letterhead provided from a journal editor relating to layout of a scholarly journal for at least one full year, which reports satisfaction of editor with layout service provided, with quality of service rated as excellent (or similar)	
2. Evidence of previous journal layout	Four samples (i.e. four published papers/articles from either the same or different scholarly journals), in electronic format, of previous scholarly journal layout undertaken for journal relating to reference letter/s provided; a scholarly journal is defined for this purpose as a peer-reviewed periodical publication in which research pertaining to a particular academic discipline is published	(0) No sample of published journal papers/articles provided or samples provided do not relate to the reference letter/s provided (1) One sample of published journal paper/article provided which relates to the journal for which a reference letter is provided (2) Two samples of published journal papers/articles provided which relate to the journal/s for which reference letter/s is/are provided (3) Three samples of published journal papers/articles provided which relate to the journal/s for which reference letter/s is/are provided (4) Four samples of published journal papers/articles provided which relate to the journal/s for which reference letter/s is/are provided	20
3. Quality of previous journal layout	Four samples (i.e. four published papers/articles from either the same or different scholarly journals), in electronic format, of layout undertaken for journal/s relating to reference letter/s provided; a scholarly journal is defined for this purpose as a peer-reviewed periodical publication in which research pertaining to a particular academic discipline is published	(2) Four samples of published journal papers/articles undertaken for journal/s relating to reference letter/s provided show inadequate and/or inconsistent quality of layout (4) Four samples of published journal papers/articles undertaken for journal/s relating to reference letter/s provided show consistently adequate quality of layout (5) Four samples of published journal papers/articles undertaken for journal/s relating to reference letter/s provided show	20

		consistently excellent quality of layout	
4. Satisfaction with layout of complex mathematical content reported by previous clients (editor/publisher)	Reference letter from a previous client (editor/publisher) for whom layout of complex mathematical content was provided; reference letter must be on organisation/publisher's letterhead	(0) No reference letter from a previous client (editor/publisher) relating to layout of complex mathematical content (2) Reference letter from a previous client (editor/publisher) relating to layout of complex mathematical content but letter not on organisation's/publisher's letterhead (3) Reference letter from a previous client (editor/publisher) relating to layout of complex mathematical content, on organisation's/publisher's letterhead, but does not indicate satisfaction of editor/publisher with layout service provided (4) Reference letter from a previous client (editor/publisher) relating to layout of complex mathematical content, on organisation's/publisher's letterhead and reporting satisfaction with layout service provided for mathematical content (5) Reference letter from a previous client (editor/publisher) relating to layout of complex mathematical content, on organisation's/publisher's letterhead, with quality of layout service for mathematical content reported as excellent (or similar)	5
5. Evidence of previous layout of mathematical content	One sample (in electronic format) of layout of complex mathematical content (e.g. matrices, complex differential equations, equations in which terms include numerator and denominator, subscripts, superscripts) for a published scientific work (scholarly journal article, scholarly book, textbook) relating to the reference letter provided for mathematical layout; a sample should be at least 6 pages long and show at least 6 separate examples of complex mathematical content.	(0) No sample provided of layout of mathematical content or samples provided do not relate to reference letter/s provided (1) Sample provided of layout of mathematical content that relates to reference letter provided, but fewer than 6 pages long or less than 6 separate examples of mathematical content included in sample (2) Sample provided of layout of mathematical content that relates to reference letter provided and is at least 6 pages long with at least 6 separate examples of mathematical content, but sample is not from a published work (3) Sample provided of layout of mathematical content that relates to reference letter provided and is at least 5 pages long with at least 6 separate	25



		examples of mathematical content, from a published scientific work, but inadequate complexity of mathematical content presented (4) Sample provided of layout of mathematical content that relates to reference letter provided and is at least 5 pages long with at least 6 separate examples of complex mathematical content, from a published scientific work	
6. Quality of previous layout of complex mathematical content	One sample (in electronic format) of layout of complex mathematical content (e.g. matrices, complex differential equations, equations in which terms include numerator and denominator, subscripts, superscripts) for a published scientific work (scholarly journal article, scholarly book, textbook) relating to the reference letter provided for mathematical layout; a sample should be at least 6 pages long and show at least 6 separate examples of complex mathematical content.	(2) Sample of layout of complex mathematical content for a published scientific work relating to reference letter/s provided, but poor or inconsistent quality of layout of mathematical content (4) Sample of layout of complex mathematical content for a published scientific work relating to reference letter/s provided, showing adequate and consistent quality of layout of complex mathematical content (5) Sample of layout of complex mathematical content for a published scientific work relating to reference letter/s provided, showing excellent and consistent quality of layout of complex mathematical content	25
7. Total Weight Points			100

4. Phase 3: Price and Preference (B-BBEE) evaluation phase

Service providers who comply with set evaluation requirements will be evaluated under Price and Preference (Specific goals) phase.

List the specific goals applicable to this RFQ:

Criteria	Weight	Sub-criteria
Total Price	80/100	Benchmark against lowest quote
Specific Goal	20/100	Specific Goals points will be awarded to bidders according to their size of the firm as follows: Woman owned Business or Youth Owned Businesses or Businesses owned by people with disabilities: 10 points to be awarded to Woman owned Business or Youth Owned Businesses or Businesses owned by people with disabilities (30% and above ownership %).



		• <i>B-BBEE Certificate:</i> a. <i>10 points to be awarded to bidders based on the BBEE status level of contributor as indicated in the BBEE accreditation certification in line with the table indicated below.</i>												
		<table><tr><th><i>BBEE LEVELS</i></th><th><i>SCORES</i></th></tr><tr><td><i>Level 1</i></td><td><i>10</i></td></tr><tr><td><i>Level 2</i></td><td><i>8</i></td></tr><tr><td><i>Level 3</i></td><td><i>6</i></td></tr><tr><td><i>Level 4</i></td><td><i>4</i></td></tr><tr><td><i>Level 5</i></td><td><i>2</i></td></tr></table>	<i>BBEE LEVELS</i>	<i>SCORES</i>	<i>Level 1</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>Level 2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>Level 3</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>Level 4</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>Level 5</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>BBEE LEVELS</i>	<i>SCORES</i>													
<i>Level 1</i>	<i>10</i>													
<i>Level 2</i>	<i>8</i>													
<i>Level 3</i>	<i>6</i>													
<i>Level 4</i>	<i>4</i>													
<i>Level 5</i>	<i>2</i>													

5. Due Diligence

The WRC reserves the rights to conduct a due diligence exercise on the bidders that scored highest points in commercial evaluation.

Note

- Fees must be quoted in South African Rand
- Price(s) must be firm and all-inclusive and VAT where applicable.

6. PERIOD / DURATION OF PROJECT / ASSIGNMENT

Twenty four (24) months.

7. PAYMENT:

The WRC will be invoiced according to the amount of services rendered payment will be affected 30 days from the date of the invoice.

8. STANDARD CONDITIONS OF THE TENDER

- Bidders must complete **SBD 4, SBD 6.1,**
- Bidders must also submit a **valid tax clearance certificate**; and a **valid B-BBEE certificate** (original or certified copy) to the WRC offices PRIOR or by the closing date, if the WRC is not already in possession of these certificates;
- It is the responsibility of prospective bidders to ensure that all bid documents are submitted before the closing time and date of the tender;
- The WRC reserves the right to award or not to award this contract;
- The WRC will enter into a formal contract with one successful bidder;
- The WRC reserves the right to terminate the contract should the performance of the service provider be unsatisfactory;
- Bids received after closing time and date will be classified as **LATE** and will **NOT** be considered;



- viii. Although adequate thought has been given in the drafting of this document, errors may occur which the WRC will not be responsible for;
- ix. Any change of information provided in the tender document that may affect delivery of the service should be brought to the WRC's attention as soon as possible. Failure to comply with this may result in the contract being terminated;
- x. Service providers presenting information intentionally incorrectly or fraudulently will be disqualified;
- xii. Service providers who have been declared insolvent and wish to do business with the WRC must have been rehabilitated and provide the necessary proof thereof;
- xiii. The WRC reserves the right to award, cancel or partially award this contract.
- xiv. The National Treasury General Conditions of Contract will be applicable to this tender (available on the National Treasury website);
- xv. Bidders must complete and attach all relevant standard bid document;
- xvi. All prices quoted must be VAT inclusive; where applicable
- xvii. Only those bidders, who have met the minimum functional criteria, as stipulated above, may be invited to present their proposal as required. Please note that bidders, who may be invited for presentations, may have their technical scores re-evaluated.
- xviii. For those bidders who have met the minimum functional criteria, as stipulated above, the WRC has the right to visit the business premises to verify the information provided in the tender documents; please note that bidders who may have their premises inspected, may have their technical scores re-evaluated.
- xix. Short-listed companies could be invited to present and discuss their proposals.
- xx. A service level agreement will be entered into between the WRC and the successful respondent.

9. CLOSING DATE AND TIME

- ☐ The closing date for the RFQ is the **31 July 2026 @ 11h00**.
- ☐ Quotations should be sent to quotations@wrc.org.za to reach the WRC before **11h00** on the closing date and time.

10. ENQUIRIES

- ☐ For any technical enquiries and commercial enquiries please contact: the WRC Supply Chain Unit at quotations@wrc.org.za