

	Technical Evaluation Strategy	Engineering
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------

Title: **Provision of Supply and delivery of various stock items Electrical spares**

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number:

N/A

Area of Applicability:

Engineering

Documentation Type:

Strategy

Revision:

0

Total Pages:

12

Next Review Date:

N/A

Disclosure Classification:

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Compiled by

Approved by

Authorised by





Calvin Khoza

Tebogo Mailola

Nkosana Mlangeni

Electrical Technician

Senior Advisor

Materials Manager

Date 17.07.2026

Date 17.07.2026

Date 17.07.2026

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	4
2.2.1 Normative.....	4
2.2.2 Informative	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Disclosure Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	5
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	5
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	5
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	5
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD & METHOD	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS	6
3.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation criteria	7
3.4 Qualitative Criteria Evaluation	8
3.5 Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy	9
3.5.1 TET Member Responsibilities	10
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	10
4. AUTHORISATION	10
5. REVISIONS.....	11
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....	11

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	6
Table 2:Mandatory Technical Evaluation	7
Table 3 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	9
Table4: TET Member Responsibilities	11

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

This document outlines the technical evaluation criteria stating how the tenderer to execute the Provision of **Supply and delivery of various stock items Electrical spares**

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers the different aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the Technical Evaluation Team (TET). For the supply and delivery of Electrical spares at Duvha Power Station the scope includes the following

- Supply procured spares as requested by the Employer
- Confirmation correctness of the supplied spares information prior to delivery to delivery Provide spares technical information in accordance with the scope of work
- Timeously inform the Employer of any delays or when outstanding or additional information from the
- Employer is required Ensure that only high-quality products are delivered
- Ensure that every effort is made to keep to the agreed program and plan Provide all required technical datasheets and/or product brochures Conform to all the other requirements stipulated in this document
- Supply all the necessary test sheets/results where applicable

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the objective methodology to be used by the Tender Evaluation Team (TET) when evaluating functionality. The methodology is designed to be fair, transparent, consistent, auditable and capable of being applied to a large number of bidders within the evaluation period.

2.1.2 Applicability

This Technical Evaluation Strategy is applicable to the evaluation of service providers supplying and delivering of spares to Duvha Power Station

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- 1 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- 2 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy
- 3 QM-58 Supplier Contract Quality Requirements Specifications
- 4 240-105691858 Material Management safe work procedures transportation requirements for material handling

2.2.2 Informative

- 1 ISO 9001 Quality Management systems
- 2 32-1034 Eskom Procurement Policy

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy
- [3] QM-58 Supplier Contract Quality Requirements Specifications
- [4] 240-105691858 Material Management safe work procedures transportation requirements for material handling

2.2.2 Informative

- [5] ISO 9001 Quality Management systems
- [6] 32-1034 Eskom Procurement Policy

2.3 DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Employer	The organization (Eskom) to which the supplier will be contracted for this tender and contracts that may result there off
Employer's Premises	Duvha Power Station
Industrial Storage Facility	Physical space suitable for the storage of the items specified in the scope of work
Electrical Spares	Contactors, Relay, TR, etc.
Returnable	Document submitted by tenderer for evaluation in support of tender bid
Spares	Parts that can be used for replacement

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ISO	International Organisation of Standardisation
NEC	New Engineering Contract
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacture
QCP/QIP	Quality Control Plan / Quality inspection plan
PS	Power Station
SABS	South Africa Bureau of Standards
SOW	Scope of Work
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482, Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD & METHOD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted nor point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether or not the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tenderer to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

The evaluation of the tender submission will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the Engineering requirements.

The scoring method will be as stipulated in Table 4.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

The full time core technical evaluation team will consist of the following team members (in-line with the Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure, 240- 48929482) in Table 1:

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
1	Calvin Khoza	Electrical Technician
2	Millicent Phukuntsi	Electrical Technician
3	Tebogo Mailola	Senior Advisor

The part time/support team member shall be required to fill in a technical evaluation form, if their names are marked as mandatory (X), next to a criterion. The part time/ support team member may not be required to fill in a technical evaluation form, if their names are marked as optional (O) next to a criterion but shall assist the main members where necessary. These members may be as follows in Table 2:

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	NONE	NONE	NONE

3.4

QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The guideline for Qualitative scoring is on the table below:

Score	Percentage	Description
5	100	COMPLIANT Completely meets the technical requirement(s)
3	70	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Partially meet technical requirement(s).
1	35	NON-COMPLIANT Does not meet technical requirement(s).
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

3.5 Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy

In order to be declared technically acceptable. The tenderers must achieve a minimum threshold of 70%. The evaluation of tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the requirements specified in the scope of works. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tenders against the Employer's requirements. The following scoring method will be used:

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

1. MULTI-YEAR WORK / "AS-AND-WHEN" CONTRACT EXPERIENCE	Points	Score
Signed client reference letters/ Contracts on official letterheads confirming contract/ successful execution of work or "as-and-when" contracts.		40%
Evidence of five (5) long-term supply contracts (term ≥ 3 years and above contracts) executed in the last 5 years, each more than R10 000 000.	5	
Evidence of three (3) long-term supply contracts (term ≥ 3 years and above contracts) executed in the last 5 years, each more than R10 000 000.	3	
Evidence of two (2) long-term supply contracts (term ≥ 3 years and above contracts) executed in the last 5 years, each more than R10 000 000.	1	
No information Provided	0	
2. Warehouse & Supply Chain Capability	Points	Score
Lease or ownership proof, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) inventory management details, ISO 9001 Certified, Stock control and Dispatch procedure, Climate & Environmental Control Storage		30%
Warehouse with five (5) of the evidence documentation above within 50 – 100 KM Radius	5	
Warehouse with four (4) of the above evidence documentation within 50 – 200KM Radius	3	
Warehouse with three (3) of the above evidence documentation within 250 KM Radius	1	
No information Provided	0	
3. Transportation	Points	Score
Proof of delivery vehicle availability		10%
- Owned (company or director) delivery vehicle	5	
- Formal lease contract/letter of intent with proven capability	3	
- Informal arrangement / limited evidence	1	
No proof attached/submitted	0	
4. Method Statement	Points	Score
The Method Statement must cover the following:		20%
• Delivery Plan and Lead times (from PO placement to delivery)		
• Defect management procedure (including warranties, replacements and corrective measures)		
• Return policies		
• Warranty & After-Sales Support		
Detailed method statement scoring:		
- All the above are submitted	5	
- Three of the above is submitted	3	
- Between one or two of the above is submitted	1	
- No information submitted	0	

3.5.1 TET Member Responsibilities

Key: X = Mandatory;

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1			
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
3	X	X	X
4	X	X	X

NOTE: Mandatory criteria not applicable

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

It is anticipated that various risks, exceptions and conditions will be identified during the clarification and negotiation process. Each of those will be considered and evaluated individually to determine whether they are acceptable, unacceptable or whether suitable mitigation measures can be agreed upon.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Tebogo Mailola	Senior Advisor
Dumisani Thabang	EMD Manager

5. REVISIONS

N/A

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.