

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

A weighted score-card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of tenders against the technical specification. Tenderers need to have a weighted score of 70% overall or more to technically qualify for further evaluating. The evaluation of the tender submission will be based on the tender's ability to meet the technical requirements.

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted or point score but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criteria shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for the tender to be consider from the technical perspective is 70% to ensure credibility of the tenderer to provide the service required by Eskom.

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT • Meet technical requirement(s) AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3.		
Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	Submitted proof of Pest Control Operator licence.	Proof of valid Pest Control Operator licence.	Pest Control Operator Regulations, 2011 (Government Notice R.98 of 18 February 2011)

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 4: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)
Vegetation Technical Evaluation			
1.1	Contractor's equipment and vehicles available for contract	List of Equipment suitable for contract e.g.. Lawnmowers, Grass cutters, Blowers, sprayers sweepers etc.	20
1.2	Previous work experience and References	Record of similar work. Relevant Experience required: (Have knowledge of the vegetation in the area, Alien eradication methods and weed control.) Less than 1 Year: 0% 1 - 3 Years: 5% 3 - 5 Years: 10% > 5 Years: 15% References: Contactable reference letters for similar work done. > 5 references - 15% < 5 References - 5%	30
1.3	Company Profile	Provide Company Profile. Give an indication of what they actually do and specialise in. Organogram with contact numbers down to Supervisor level. Vegetation primary business: Yes: 20% , Not primary business: 5%	20
1.4	Technical Method Statement	Safe Working procedures for all activities as required by this contract including safety	10

		requirements per activity. Yes: 10% , None: 0%	
1.5	Supervisor's CVs	Supervisor's CVs submitted for personnel that will be allocated to this project: Relevant Experience. (Have knowledge of the vegetation in the area, Alien eradication methods and weed control. Minimum experience per supervisor. < 1 Years: 2% , 1 - 2 Years: 5% > 2 Years: 10% Qualifications of Supervisors: (Short courses in basic veld management, alien eradication and herbicide application, Risk assessment) Yes: 10% , None: 2%	20
			TOTAL: 100