

 Eskom	Strategy	Engineering
---	----------	-------------

Title: **Technical Evaluation Strategy for Fire Detection System Maintenance Spares** Unique Identifier: ***1037792**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **0**

Total Pages: **9**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**


Compiled by



L. Ngcobo
FDS Engineer

Date: **13/05/2026**


Supported by



Y. Motsepe
C&I Engineering
Manager

Date: **18/05/2026**

Authorised by



P. Takane
Engineering
Manager

Date: **20-05-2026**

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative.....	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS	3
2.3.1 Classification	3
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	3
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS.....	4
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA (GATEKEEPERS).....	5
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	8
4. AUTHORISATION.....	9
5. REVISIONS	9
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	9
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members.....	4
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (Gatekeeper)	5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....	8

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMIS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system

1. INTRODUCTION

This document outlines the technical evaluation strategy that will be deployed for Fire Detection System Spares Contract at Kendal Power Station. The strategy will be adhered to, to select the best contractor to execute the tasks as indicated in the scope of work document at Kendal Power Station.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for the technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to Kendal Power Station.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 1036905: Kendal Power Station SOW for Fire Detection and Suppression Systems Maintenance Spares.

2.2.2 Informative

N/A

2.3 DEFINITIONS

N/A

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

In-text expansion of the used abbreviation has been effected.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member: The delegated engineers / technical specialists who are responsible to review and evaluate technical aspects of the tender documentation as per the Technical Evaluation Strategy.

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70% as per 240-48929482 Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Langa Ngcobo	C&I Engineer
TET 2	Thabo Magagula	C&I Engineer
TET 3	Yolisa Motsepe	C&I Engineering Manager
TET 4	Mapule Madingoane	C&I Maintenance Manager

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA (GATEKEEPERS)

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (Gatekeeper)

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	The tenderer to submit valid proof of active company registration with SAQCC. Certified copy not older than three months Note: The registration will be verified with SAQCC	Certified copy (not older than three months) for valid proof of active company registration with SAQCC.	The South African Qualification & Certification Committee (SAQCC) is a non-profit organization mandated by the Department of Employment and Labour to regulate and certify competence in industries such as fire protection, gas, and refrigeration. SAQCC ensures that companies and individuals comply with relevant standards, such as SANS10139 for fire systems and safety regulations for gas and refrigeration. Therefore, ascertain the reliable supply and delivery of correct and quality FDS spares that are tested, certified and warranted for use in the South African region and to ensure local content procurement strategy to reduce lead times for spares delivery.

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description Scoring: 0,2,4,5 points (where applicable)		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Criteria Weighting (%)
1	Compliance to SANS 10139 and <i>Works Experience</i>			100%
1.1	The Tenderer to submit proof of previous similar work completed within the last 5 years by the tenderer in the supply and delivery of FDS spares in a power station or similar environment. Proof must be in a form of an official order or contract. Scoring: • Proof submitted as listed above, for work done within the last 3 years – 5/5 Points • Proof submitted as listed above, for work done between the period of 3 to 5 years ago –4/5 Points • Proof submitted as listed above, for work done between the period of 5 to 12 years ago –2/5 Points • No proof submitted, or submitted proof is for work done over 12 years ago – 0/5 Points	Proof of previous similar work completed within the last 5 years	45%	
1.2	Tenderer to submit a signed testimonial letter of similar service rendered to the previous client/s on service delivery	Signed testimonial letter	20%	

**Technical Evaluation Strategy for Fire Detection System
Spares Contract**

Unique Identifier: *1037792
Revision: 2.0
Page: 7 of 9

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description Scoring: 0,2,4,5 points (where applicable)		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Criteria Weighting (%)
	Scoring: - Signed testimonial letter submitted as listed above, for work done within the last 3 years – 5/5 Points - Signed testimonial letter submitted as listed above, for work done between the period of 3 to 5 years ago –4/5 Points - Signed testimonial letter submitted as listed above, for work done between the period of 5 to 12 years ago –2/5 Points - No Signed testimonial letter submitted, or submitted proof is for work done over 12 years ago – 0/5 Points			
1.3	The tenderer to submit proof of a two years or more active account with local (South African) certified distributors of FDS spares (or OEM). Deliverables: Signed letter from the distributor(s)/OEM indicating active account and account holder (Company). Scoring: - Proof of active account submitted has been active for two years or more – 5/5 Points - Proof of active account submitted has been active for 12 to 24 months – 4/5 Points - Proof of active account submitted has been active for a 6 to 12 months – 2/5 Points - Proof of active account submitted has been active for a less than 6 months – 0/5 Points	Proof of Active account with local (South African) certified distributors of FDS spares (or OEM).	35%	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities (minimum of one engineer and one manager to evaluate)

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1.1	X	X	X	X
1.2	X	X	X	X
2.3	X	X	X	X

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Mapule Madingoane	C&I maintenance Manager
Yolisa Motsepe	C&I Engineering Manager
Thabo Magagula	C&I Engineer

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
May 2026	0.0	L. Ngcobo	New document

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Langa Ngcobo

Mandisa Mabaso

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMIS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system