




 Eskom	Strategy	Group Capital
---	----------	---------------

Title:	Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy: Skills for Outstanding Project Support at Kusile Power Station Project	Unique Identifier:	559-178410502
		Alternative Reference Number:	N/A
		Area of Applicability:	Eskom Holdings SCO Ltd
		Functional Area:	Commissioning
		Revision:	0
		Total Pages:	11
		Next Review Date:	N/A
		Disclosure Classification:	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Compiled by	Supported by	Functional Responsibility	Authorized by
			
K. Silindana	H. Mabunda	S. Foku	C. Barclay
Senior Advisor	Manager	Middle Manager	Senior Manager
Commissioning	Commissioning	Commissioning	Projects Oversight/Delivery
Date: 18/06/2026	Date: 18/06/2026	Date: 18/06/2026	Date: 2026-06-23

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.1.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation	5
3.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	7
3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	9
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	10
4. AUTHORISATION	11
5. REVISIONS	11
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	11
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11

TABLES

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	8
Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities	9

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

1. INTRODUCTION

The construction and commissioning service team is required to support plant commissioning and ensure compliance with the User Requirement Specifications, designs, and control philosophy. The appointed service provider will support Eskom in managing the remaining construction and commissioning activities required to complete the Kusile Power Station Project. The resources provided by the service provider will work under the direction and guidance of Eskom Construction and Commissioning Management staff and will form part of an integrated team that applies Eskom's systems and procedures.

The overall responsibilities of the service provider will be as follows:

- a) Provide advisory and support services for the execution of the construction and commissioning activities
- b) Monitor construction progress daily using physical quantities versus Contractor's schedule and Weekly work plans.
- c) Ensure compliance with Plant Safety Regulations (PSR), Operating Regulations for High Voltage System (OHRVS), OHS and Master Installation Electrician (MIE).

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers the tender technical evaluation strategy that will be adopted by all Technical Evaluation Team (TET) members when performing technical evaluations for the contract. This document also lists the various technical areas across which the evaluation process is to be distributed.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to the Kusile Power Station Project.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

- [2] **559-460182550:** Works Information for Skills for Outstanding Projects Support Contract at Kusile Power Station Project.

2.2.2 Informative

N/A

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CV	Curriculum Vitae
KET	Kusile Execution Team
MIE	Master Installation Electrician
MR	Mandatory Requirements
ORHVS	Operating Regulations for High Voltage System
PSR	Plant Safety Regulation
SHE	Safety, Health and Environment
N/A	Not Applicable
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective are stipulated below:

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.1.1 Mandatory Technical Evaluation

- CV's, proof of qualifications and certificates (qualifications, MIE, applicable Plant authorisations: RP)
- Qualitative requirements (QR): 75%
 - a. Resource plan
 - b. Previous assignment
 - c. Lead time

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.2 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1 below identifies the requirements for the Mandatory Evaluation. These requirements are “must meet” criteria. They are assessed on a “Yes/No” basis. An assessment of “No” against a criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer.

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	CVs, proof of qualifications, certifications must be provided for all service resources	Refer to Technical Tender Returnable and Employer’s Service Information.	To ensure the proposal meets the service requirements.

3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

These are weighted evaluation criteria and are used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer. The weighting reflects the relevant importance of each criterion.

The criteria have been broken down into three evaluation focus areas, namely: Resource Plan weighted at 50 %, Previous Relevant Personnel Assignment weighted at 40 % and Lead Time weighted at 10 %. The table below contains the list of Qualitative Evaluation Criteria for all three focus areas.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tenderer to be considered from a technical perspective is 75%. This is above the threshold specified in the Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure as the requirements are not seen as complicated and must be met or it will lead to increased risk.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Weight	0: Non-Compliance / No information supplied	2: Does not meet technical requirements. Has unacceptable risks/conditions associated	4: Meet Requirements with acceptable risks/conditions	5: Meet Technical Requirements with no foreseen risks
RESOURCE PLAN		50%				
1.1	CVs and Qualifications of key personnel	20%	No information supplied.	N1-N3 Certificate	Grade 12/ NCV4/ N4-N5 Certificate	N6 - National N Diploma certificate
1.2	Proposal meeting scope requirements	30%		Inadequate levels of scope required proposal	Acceptable response detailing with how the service will fully meet the requirements	excellent response which demonstrates the ability to deliver the service far in excess of minimum requirements
PREVIOUS ASSIGNMENTS		40%				
2.1	Previous relevant assignments (work experience) of each personnel	30%	No information supplied.	assignment provided not relevant.	1-3 numbers of relevant assignments provided	4 or more numbers of relevant assignment provided
2.2	Provide reference list of assignments that the tenderer has worked on	10%		Reference list provide not relevant to the assignment	1-3 numbers of relevant assignments	4 or more numbers of relevant assignments or more
LEAD TIME		10%				
3.1	Lead time to mobilise team for task order	10%	No information supplied.	More than two weeks	Between 1 and 2 weeks	1 week or less
TOTAL WEIGHT		100%				

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3 below identify the TET members who will be allocated to review/ evaluate each Qualitive Criterion (minimum 2 evaluators per criteria / sub-criteria)

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
CVs, proof of qualifications, certifications must be provided for all service resources	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
CVs and Qualifications of key personnel	X	X	X	X
Proposal meeting scope requirements	X	X	X	X
Previous relevant assignments	X	X	X	X
reference list of assignments	X	X	X	X
Lead time	X	X	X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE


3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT - Meet technical requirement(s) AND; - No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; - Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; - Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; - Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT - Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; - Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; - Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; - Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Chris Barclay	Senior Manager Oversight and Delivery	
Sebongile Foku	Middle Manager - Commissioning	
Hatlane Mabunda	Manager: BOP - Commissioning	
Kevin Naidoo	Senior Advisor - Commissioning	

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
June 2026	0	K. Silindana	As per project requirements

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Kholo Silindana
- Hatlane Mabunda
- Tumi Mpedi
- Kevin Naidoo

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- All those that contributed to this document.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE