

Title: Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Supply and delivery of C&I non or stock item spares at Hendrina Power Station for a period of 5 years.

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Hendrina Power Station**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **13**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

---

**Compiled by**

**Functional Responsibility**

**Functional Responsibility**

.....

.....

.....

---

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 SCOPE .....	3
2.1.1 Purpose .....	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative .....	4
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	4
2.3.1 Classification .....	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	5
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	5
<b>3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD .....	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS.....	6
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	7
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	8
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	8
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	12
3.6.1 Risks.....	12
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions.....	12
<b>4. AUTHORISATION.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5. REVISIONS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>13</b>

## TABLES

Table 1: Technical Evaluation Score Card .....	5
Table 2: TET Members .....	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	8
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....	11
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	12
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks .....	12
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	12
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions .....	12

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes strategy and the technical evaluation criteria designed and created to evaluate the Supply and delivery of C&I non or stock item spares at Hendrina Power Station for a period of 5 years.

The tender process will be an open tender to the market to ensure transparency and competitiveness.

This evaluation strategy has been developed and will be used for evaluation of the submitted tenders to gauge accuracy and compliance with the set evaluation criteria to ensure for the successful execution of this project.

The technical evaluation will be completed by a technical team that understands the scope and the goal of the project.

## 2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

### 2.1 SCOPE

This document outlines the Technical Evaluation criteria for the Supply and delivery of C&I non or stock item spares at Hendrina Power Station and covers the different aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the multi-disciplinary Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of the enquiry. The team members are listed and appointed in this document along with their responsibilities. The document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions.

The Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Mandatory Evaluation Criteria
- Qualitative Evaluation Criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications.

Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is authorised no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria.

#### 2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### 2.1.2 Applicability

This document is intended for and shall be applicable the Supply and delivery of C&I non or stock item spares at Hendrina Power Station.

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

## 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

### 2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy

### 2.2.2 Informative

The *Contractor* will refer to the latest documents that describe the plant operation and control philosophies:

[3] ISO 9001: Quality Management Systems

## 2.3 DEFINITIONS

### 2.3.1 Classification

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

### 2.3.2 Tender

A tender refers to a written competitive offer, quotation or proposal made by the supplier in a prescribed or stipulated form in response to an invitation to tender/competitive enquire for provision of assets, goods, or services and/or the disposal thereof.

## 2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
C & I	Control and Instrumentation
QMS	Quality Management System
TES	Technical Evaluation Strategy
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

## 2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## 2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

240-48929153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure Related/Supporting Documents.

## 2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

None

## 3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

### 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

**Table 1: Technical Evaluation Score Card**

Score	Percentage	Description
5	100	<b>Compliant</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meet technical requirement(s) AND.</li> <li>No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.</li> </ul>
4	80	<b>Compliant with Associated Qualifications</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meet technical requirement(s) with.</li> <li>Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR.</li> <li>Acceptable exceptions AND/OR.</li> <li>Acceptable conditions.</li> </ul>
2	40	<b>Non-Compliant</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR.</li> <li>Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR.</li> <li>Unacceptable conditions.</li> </ul>
0	0	<b>Totally Deficient or Non-Responsive</b>
<p><b>Note 1:</b> The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3.</p> <p><b>Note 2:</b> Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy</p>		

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

### 3.2 TET MEMBERS

**Table 2: TET Members**

<b>TET number</b>	<b>TET Member Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>
TET 1	Azwianewi Mudzunga	Snr Technologist Engineer
TET 2	Christo Prinsloo	Snr Technician Maintenance
TET 3	Sibusiso Khawula	Manager Materials Management

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Supply and delivery of C&I non or stock item spares at Hendrina Power Station for a period of 5 years.

Unique Identifier:

Revision: **0**

Page: **7 of 13**

---

### **3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

N/A

**3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Weighting (%)	Sub
<b>1.</b>	<b>Relevant Experience</b>			<b>45%</b>		
	1.1	<p><b>Previous experience of supplying and delivering C&amp;I Spares within power station.</b></p> <p><u>Scoring Criteria:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• X ≥ 5 number of completed work = <b>5 points (45)</b></li> <li>• 2&gt; X ≤ 4 number of completed work = <b>4 points (36)</b></li> <li>• 0&gt; X ≤ 2 number of completed work = <b>2 points (18)</b></li> <li>• X = 0 number of completed work = <b>0 points (0)</b></li> </ul> <p>NB (X) Represent number of deliveries</p>	<p>Previous orders or contracts with Signed and stamped delivery note should be attached to get point/s.</p> <p>Project completion certificate with clear scope of work</p>		45	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Technical Skills</b>			<b>20%</b>		

	2.1	<p><b>Products Knowledge / Logistical Solution for delivery of Spares</b></p> <p>Scoring criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Method statement is clearly defined, detailed, comprehensive and covers the full scope of work: <b>5 Points=(20)</b></li> <li>2. Method statement is clear has minimal errors and covers majority of the scope of work: <b>4 Points=(16)</b></li> <li>3. Method statement is basic, vague, or does not cover most of the scope of work: <b>2 Points=(8)</b></li> <li>4. Not submitted or not related to the scope of work: <b>0 Points=(0)</b></li> </ol>	Detailed method statement must include, but not limited to, the procurement, handling, transportation, and storage of spares from the moment an order is placed by Eskom		20
<b>3.</b>	<b>Quality Control Plan (QCP)</b>			<b>25%</b>	
	3.1	<p><b>Quality Control Plan (QCP) Showing all the points for delivery:</b></p> <p>Scoring criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• QCP includes all necessary steps to evaluate quality and includes reference to supporting documents and intervention points (i.e., hold, witness etc.) = <b>5 Points (25)</b></li> </ul>	Detailed QCP showing how quality is ensured throughout the supply and delivery phases,		25

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>QCP includes all steps but does not reference supporting documents or intervention points (i.e., hold, witness etc.) = <b>4 Points (16)</b></li> <li>QCP is generic (does not include all steps) = <b>2 Points (8)</b></li> <li>QCP is not submitted, or documents submitted do not qualify as QCP = <b>0 Points (0)</b></li> </ul>			
<b>4.</b>	<b>Lead time</b>			<b>10%</b>	
	4.1	<p>Lead times</p> <p>Scoring Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>X ≤ 12 Weeks = <b>5 points (10)</b></li> <li>&gt; 12 Weeks ≤ 16 Weeks = <b>4 Points (8)</b></li> <li>&gt; 16 Weeks ≤ 20 Weeks = <b>2 Points (4)</b></li> <li>X &gt; 20 Weeks = <b>0 Points</b></li> </ul> <p>*Note: 'x' is the lead time in weeks.</p>	Signed letter with company letterhead confirming lead times (after an order is placed) for delivery within Power Station.		10
				<b>TOTAL: 100</b>	

**TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES**

**Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities**

<b>Mandatory Criteria Number</b>	<b>TET 1</b>	<b>TET 2</b>	<b>TET 3</b>	<b>TET 4</b>	<b>TET 5</b>	<b>TET 6</b>	<b>TET 7</b>	<b>TET n</b>
	X		X	X			X	X
	X		X	X			X	X
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X				X		X	
<b>Qualitative Criteria Number</b>	<b>TET 1</b>	<b>TET 2</b>	<b>TET 3</b>	<b>TET 4</b>	<b>TET 5</b>	<b>TET 6</b>	<b>TET 7</b>	<b>TET n</b>
	X		X	X			X	X
	X		X	X			X	X
	X		X	X			X	X
		X			X	X		
		X			X			
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X		X	X			X	X
	X		X	X			X	X

### 3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

#### 3.5.1 Risks

**Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks**

Risk	Description
1.	NO

**Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks**

Risk	Description
1.	No information on adherence to Eskom Standards provided.

#### 3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

**Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

**Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

#### 4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature

#### 5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
June 2026	1		Qualitative reviewed

#### 6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

#### 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.