

	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Strategy</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Environment</b></p>
---	--	---

Title: **Technical Evaluation Criteria for Provision of Grounds Maintenance, Horticultural, Alien and Invasive Plants Removal and Control Services at Matla Power Station at Matla Power station and Kriel Town Eskom Offices and Flats for a period of 36 months.**

Unique Identifier: **N/A**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Environment**

Documentation Type: **Report**


Revision: **0**

Total Pages:

Next Review Date: **2026**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

**Compiled by**



.....

**Bethuel Moeng**  
**Senior Advisor**  
**Environmental**  
**Matla Power Station**

Date: 06/01/2026

**Supported By**



.....

**Lutendo Murovhi**  
**Environmental Manager (Acting)**  
**Matla Power Station**

Date: 07/01/2026

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This strategy outlines the technical Evaluation Criteria which will be used by the Tender Evaluation Team members during evaluation process. The strategy discusses the quantitative criteria's to be followed during Technical Evaluation from the tender returnable in order to select the successful company.

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 SCOPE .....	4
Purpose .....	4
1.1.1 Applicability .....	4
1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	4
1.2.1 Normative.....	4
1.2.2 Informative .....	4
1.3 DEFINITIONS .....	5
1.3.1 Classification .....	5
1.4 ABBREVIATIONS .....	5
1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	5
1.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	5
<b>2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALAUTION REPORT .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 TECHNICAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY .....	5
2.1.1 Mandatory Technical Requirements .....	6
<b>3. TET MEMBERS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 TENDER TECHNICAL RETURNABLES RECEIVED .....	9
3.2 TECHNICAL CLARIFICATIONS .....	9
3.3 TECHNICAL EVALAUTION RESULTS .....	9
3.3.1 Summary of evaluation results.....	9
3.3.2 Interpretation of evaluation results .....	9
3.3.2.1 Mandatory Evaluation Results .....	9
3.3.2.2 Qualitative Evaluation Results .....	9
3.4 TECHNICAL INPUTS FOR PRICE ADJUSTMENTS.....	9
3.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	10
<b>4. AUTHORISATION .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5. REVISIONS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>8. APPENDIX 1: INDIVIDUAL SCORING FORMS .....</b>	<b>ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.</b>

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Matla Power Station is intending to request Contractors to tender for a service for Provision of Grounds Maintenance, Horticultural, Alien and Invasive Plants Removal and Control Services at Matla Power Station at Matla Power station and Kriel Town Eskom Offices and Flats for a period of 36 months

Supporting Clauses

### **1.1 SCOPE**

Provision of Grounds Maintenance, Horticultural, Alien and Invasive Plants Removal and Control Services at Matla Power Station at Matla Power station and Kriel Town Eskom Offices and Flats for a period of 36 months

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to outline the Technical Evaluation Criteria that will be used to evaluate the tender returnable from various service providers. The outcome of the evaluation criteria will be the technical evaluation report which will be containing the recommendations of tenderers that met the minimum technical threshold thus deemed technically suitable for the provision for the required services.

The evaluation report will also outline the tenderers which could not meet either mandatory requirements or their final score(s) below the minimum technical threshold of 75% therefore deemed technically not suitable to provide the required services conducted by Technical Evaluation team (TET).

#### **1.1.1 Applicability**

This document applies to Provision of Grounds Maintenance, Horticultural, Alien and Invasive Plants Removal and Control Services at Matla Power Station at Matla Power station and Kriel Town Eskom Offices and Flats for a period of 36 months scope of work.

### **1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

#### **1.2.1 Normative**

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

#### **1.2.2 Informative**

[2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

---

## 1.3 DEFINITIONS

### 1.3.1 Classification

- a. **Confidential:** the classification given to information that may be used by malicious/opposing/hostile elements to harm the objectives and functions of Eskom Holdings Limited.

## 1.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
B-BBEE	Broad- Based Black Economic Empowerment
KPI	Key performance Index
SD&L	Supplier Development and Localisation
SHE	Safety , Health & Environmental
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

## 1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The roles and responsibilities as outlined in the procedure 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure will be applicable.

## 1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

## 1.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Scope of work for precipitator maintenance (repairs, inspections, etc) and opportunity outage scope of work

## 2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

### 2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

The Tenders will be evaluated as per the Technical Evaluation Criteria provided below. The following criteria will be evaluated for the tenders received.

- 1.
2. Qualitative technical Requirements

The technical criteria will consist of only qualitative technical Requirements.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 75%.

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### **2.1.1 Mandatory Technical Requirements**

Pest Control Licence is a mandatory requirement included for Provision of Grounds Maintenance, Horticultural, Alien and Invasive Plants Removal and Control Services at Matla Power Station at Matla Power station and Kriel Town Eskom Offices and Flats for a period of 36 months.

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

**3.1.2 Qualitative Technical Requirements**

Qualitative forms the second step in the evaluation process with a weighting of 100%. The tenderer will be required to score the overhaul score of 75% as a minimum in order to be deemed technically compliant/suitable. These requirements consist of four (4) sub-criteria each with a weighting as shown in the table below.

**Table 1: Qualitative Technical Criteria Weightings**

**Technical Evaluation Criteria:**

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

<b>ITEMS BREAKDOWN</b>	<b>POINTS</b>
1x Site Manager: Qualifications and CV of the Horticulturist with a national diploma in horticulture/ Nature Conservation/ Environmental Management with >3 years practical experience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Greater than 3 Years Experience: 20%</li> <li>-Less than 3 Years Experience: 15%</li> <li>-Less than 2 Years Experience: 5%</li> </ul>
Proof of Similar Experience of Horticulture services and Alien and invasive removal and control (References) from companies with greater than 3 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proof of Similar Experience (References) from companies with 3 years or greater than 3 years: 35%</li> <li>- Proof of Similar Experience (References) from companies with less than 3 years: 15%</li> <li>- Proof of Similar Experience (References) from companies with less than 2 years: 5%</li> </ul>
10x brush cutter operators with certificate of training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10x brush cutter operators with certificate of training: 10%</li> <li>- 5x brush cutter operators with certificate of training: 5%</li> </ul>
A proposal detailing methods of Alien and invasive control for each species on the scope of work (covering removal and control method, disposal methods, including herbicides to be used and dosages)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Acceptable proposal (Covering removal and control method, storage, disposal methods and chemical types, herbicides to be used – 30%</li> <li>- Acceptable proposal (Covering removal and control methods, storage and chemical types, herbicides to be used, but no disposal methods – 20%</li> <li>- Unacceptable proposal- 10%</li> </ul>

Material Safety Data Sheets for all chemicals, herbicides and substances to be used (not older than five years)	- Up to date- 5% - Older than 5 years- 0%
<b>Minimum Threshold</b>	<b>75%</b>

**Gatekeeper**

Pest Control Licence (The PCO licence holder and the weed control certificate must correspond.

### **3. TET MEMBERS.**

There will be **Two** Evaluation Team Members for this Tender.

TET- 1	Bethuel Moeng
TET- 2	Lutendo Murovhi

### **3.1 TENDER TECHNICAL RETURNABLES RECEIVED**

N/A

### **3.2 TECHNICAL CLARIFICATIONS**

#### **4.2.1 Summarise all clarification requests**

N/A

#### **4.2.2 Discuss / Summarise tender clarification responses**

N/A

#### **4.2.3 Include all Clarification Session Minutes in Appendix, if applicable**

N/A

### **3.3 TECHNICAL EVALAUTION RESULTS**

#### **3.3.1 Summary of evaluation results**

The summary of evaluation results will be available up completion of the tender evaluation

#### **3.3.2 Interpretation of evaluation results**

Not applicable at this stage

##### **3.3.2.1 Mandatory Evaluation Results**

Not applicable at this stage

##### **3.3.2.2 Qualitative Evaluation Results**

Not applicable at this stage

### **3.4 TECHNICAL INPUTS FOR PRICE ADJUSTMENTS**

N/A

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

---

### **3.5 CONCLUSIONS**

The Technical Evaluation Criteria will be used as the final document to technically score(s) the tenderer. The final results will be combined from the TET evaluators and averaged to conclude the results. The suppliers with final weighted scores of 75% or more, will be deemed technically suitable. suppliers scored below 75% threshold will be deemed not technically suitable.

### **4. AUTHORISATION**

This document has been seen and accepted by:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Signature</b>
• Bethuel Moeng	Senior Advisor Environmental	
• Lutendo Murovhi	Environmental Manager (Acting)	

### **5. REVISIONS**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Rev.</b>	<b>Compiler</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
2025/010/16	0	KB Moeng	Final Document for Technical Evaluation Criteria

### **6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Bethuel Moeng
- Lutendo Murovhi

### **7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

None

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.