

T1.2 TENDER DATA

The conditions of tender are the Standard Conditions of Tender as contained in Annex C of the CIDB Standard for Uniformity in Engineering and Construction Works Contracts. The Standard for Uniformity in Construction Procurement was first published in Board Notice 62 of 2004 in Government Gazette No 26427 of 9 June 2004. It was subsequently amended in Board Notice 67 of 2005 in Government Gazette No 28127 of 14 October 2005, Board Notice 93 of 2006 in Government Gazette No 29138 of 18 August 2006, Board Notice No 9 of 2008 in Government Gazette No 31823 of 30 January 2009, Board Notice 86 of 2010 in Government Gazette No 33239 of 28 May 2010, Board Notice 136 of 2015 in Government Gazette 38960 of 10 July 2015 and Board Notice 423 of 2019 in Government Gazette No 42622 of 8 August 2019.

This edition incorporates the amendments made in Board Notice 423 of 2019 in Government Gazette 42622 of 8 August 2019. (see www.cidb.org.za).

The Standard Conditions of Tender make several references to Tender data for detail that apply specifically to this tender. The Tender Data shall have precedence in the interpretation of any ambiguity or inconsistency between it and the Standard Conditions of Tender.

Each item of data given below is cross-referenced in the left-hand column to the clause in the Standard Conditions of Tender to which it mainly applies.

Clause	Data
C.1.1	The <i>Employer</i> is Transnet SOC Ltd (Reg No. 1990/000900/30)
C.1.2	The tender documents issued by the <i>Employer</i> comprise: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part T: The Tender <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part T1: Tendering procedures T1.1 Tender notice and invitation to tender T1.2 Tender data Part T2 : Returnable documents T2.1 List of returnable documents T2.2 Returnable schedules Part C: The contract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part C1: Agreements and contract data C1.1 Form of offer and acceptance C1.2 Contract data (Part 1 & 2) C1.3 Form of Securities Part C2: Pricing data C2.1 Pricing instructions

		C2.2 Activity Schedule
	Part C3: Scope of work	C3.1 Works Information
	Part C4: Site information	C4.1 Site information
C.1.4	The Employer's agent is:	Sourcing Specialist
	Name:	Nomvuselelo Mabuyakhulu
	Address:	Transnet Port Terminals 2nd Floor, 202 Anton Lembede Street, Durban Central Durban 4001
	Tel No.	072 735 1899
	E – mail	Nomvuselelo.Mabuyakhulu@transnet.net
C.2.1	Only those tenderers who satisfy the following eligibility criteria are eligible to submit tenders:	
	<p>1. Stage One - Eligibility in terms of the Construction Industry Development Board:</p> <p>a) Only those tenderers who are registered with the CIDB, or are capable of being so prior to the evaluation of submissions, in a contractor grading designation equal to or higher than a contractor grading designation determined in accordance with the sum tendered or a value determined in accordance with Regulation 25 (1B) or 25(7A) of the Construction Industry Development Regulations, designation of 8ME or higher class of construction work, are eligible to have their tenders evaluated.</p> <p>b) Joint Venture (JV) Joint ventures are eligible to submit tenders subject to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. every member of the joint venture is registered with the CIDB; 2. the lead partner has a contractor grading designation of not lower than one level below the required class of construction works under consideration and possesses the required recognition status; and 3. the combined Contractor grading designation calculated in accordance with the Construction Industry Development Regulations is equal to or higher than a Contractor grading designation determined in accordance with the sum tendered for a 8ME or higher class of construction work or a value determined in accordance with Regulation 25(1B) or 25(7A) of the Construction Industry Development Regulations <p>The tenderer shall provide a certified copy of its signed joint venture agreement</p>	

Any tenderer that fails to meet the stipulated eligibility criteria will be regarded as an unacceptable tender.

2. Stage Two - Eligibility with regards to professional registration

The tenderer to submit all active professional registration certificates for all key engineering personnel with **Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA)**. The Certification / Registration must be valid at the time of tender closing.

Any tenderer that fails to meet the stipulated eligibility criteria will be regarded as an unacceptable tender.

3. Stage Three - Functionality: Only those tenderers who obtain the minimum qualifying score for functionality will be evaluated further in terms of price and the applicable preference point system. The minimum qualifying for score for functionality is 80 points.

The evaluation criteria for measuring functionality and the points for each criteria and, if any, each sub-criterion are as stated in C.3.11.3 below.

Any tenderer that fails to meet the stipulated pre-qualifying criteria will be regarded as an unacceptable tender.

C.2.7 The arrangements for a non-compulsory clarification meeting are as stated in the Tender Notice and Invitation to Tender.

C.2.12 No alternative tender offers will be considered.

C.2.13.3 Each tender offer shall be in the **English Language**.

C.2.13.5 The *Employer's* details and identification details that are to be shown on each tender offer are as follows:

- | | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Identification details: | <p>The tender documents must be uploaded with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Name of Tenderer: (insert company name) ▪ Contact person and details: (insert details) ▪ The Tender Number: TPT/2024/04/0023/63121/RFP ▪ The Tender Description: Import Coking Coal Rebuild Programme, HV Galleries at the Dry Bulk Terminal Port of Richards Bay, for Transnet SCO Ltd (Reg. no. 1990/000900/30) operating as Transnet Port Terminals, (Hereinafter referred to as "TPT") |
|-------------------------|--|

Documents must be marked for the attention of:

Employer's Agent: Nomvuselelo Mabuyakhulu

C.2.13.9 Telephonic, telegraphic, facsimile or e-mailed tender offers will not be accepted.

C.2.15 The closing time for submission of tender offers is:

Time: **10:00am** on the **17th MAY 2024**

Location: The Transnet e-Tender Submission Portal:

(<https://transnetetenders.azurewebsites.net>);

NO LATE TENDERS WILL BE ACCEPTED

C.2.16 The tender offer validity period is **12 weeks** after the closing date. Tenderers are to note that they may be requested to extend the validity period of their tender, on the same terms and conditions, if Transnet's internal evaluation and governance approval processes has not been finalised within the validity period.

C.2.23 The tenderer is required to submit with his tender:

1. A valid Tax Clearance Certificate issued by the South African Revenue Services.
Tenderers also to provide Transnet with a TCS PIN to verify Tenderers compliance status.

2. A **valid B-BBEE Certificate** from a Verification Agency accredited by the South African Accreditation System [**SANAS**], or a **sworn affidavit** confirming annual turnover and level of black ownership, in line with the code of good practice, together with the tender;

3. A valid CIDB certificate in the correct designated grading;

4. Proof of registration on the Central Supplier Database;

5. Letter of Good Standing with the Workmen's compensation fund by the tendering entity or separate Letters of Good Standing from all members of a newly constituted JV.

Note: Refer to Section T2.1 for List of Returnable Documents

C3.11 The minimum number of evaluation points for functionality is: **70**

The procedure for the evaluation of responsive tenders is Functionality, Price and Preference:

Only those tenderers who attain the minimum number of evaluation points for Functionality will be eligible for further evaluation, failure to meet the minimum threshold will result in the tender being disqualified and removed

TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS
TENDER NUMBER: TPT/2024/04/0023/63121/RFP
DESCRIPTION OF THE WORKS: IMPORT COKING COAL REBUILD PROGRAMME, HV GALLERIES AT THE DRY BULK TERMINAL PORT OF RICHARDS BAY, FOR TRANSNET SOC LTD (REG. NO. 1990/000900/30) OPERATING AS TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS, (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS "TPT")

from any further consideration.

Functionality Criteria

The functionality criteria and maximum score in respect of each of the criteria are as follows:

Evaluation Criteria	Description	Scoring Principal	Returnable Schedule	Criteria	Weighting																								
Eligibility	CIDB Grading Mechanical Engineering (ME)	Contractors to be registered with the Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) as a Mechanical Contractor and be able to handle contracts that are grade 8 and above.	T2-2-01	Yes/No	N/A																								
	Professional Registration (All national designs to be signed off by the applicable professional engineer)	The tenderer to submit all active professional registration certificates for all key Project Management and Construction Management personnel with a Professional Body. The Certification / Registration must be valid at the time of tender closing.	T2-2-02	Yes/No	N/A																								
		The tenderer to submit all active professional registration certificates for all key engineering personnel with Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA). The Certification / Registration must be valid at the time of tender closing.	T2-2-02	Yes/No	N/A																								
		Mechanical Engineer (Bulk Materials Handling)	T2-2-02	Yes/No	N/A																								
		Electrical Engineer	T2-2-02	Yes/No	N/A																								
Structural Engineer	T2-2-02	Yes/No	N/A																										
General experience relevant to the scope	Site Management & Project Services	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Profession / Resource</th> <th>Weighting</th> <th>Scoring</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Project Manager</td> <td>10%</td> <td>Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction Manager</td> <td>10%</td> <td>Score 20 - Key staff do not have relevant levels of experience. Site Management: < 2 years Safety and Construction: < 2 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quantity Surveyor</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Score 40 - Key staff has limited levels of relevant experience. Site Management: < 2.5 years Safety and Construction: < 2.5 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Document Controller</td> <td>2%</td> <td>Score 60 - Key staff has the required minimum levels of experience. Site Management: > 5 &lt; 7 years Safety and Construction: > 5 &lt; 7 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Project Planner</td> <td>3%</td> <td>Score 80 - Key staff has extensive levels of relevant experience. Site Management: > 7 &lt; 9 years Safety and Construction: > 7 &lt; 9 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Health and Safety Officer</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Score 100 - Key staff has outstanding levels of relevant experience. Site Management: > 10 years Safety and Construction: > 10 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Environmental Officer</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Profession / Resource	Weighting	Scoring	Project Manager	10%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.	Construction Manager	10%	Score 20 - Key staff do not have relevant levels of experience. Site Management: < 2 years Safety and Construction: < 2 years	Quantity Surveyor	5%	Score 40 - Key staff has limited levels of relevant experience. Site Management: < 2.5 years Safety and Construction: < 2.5 years	Document Controller	2%	Score 60 - Key staff has the required minimum levels of experience. Site Management: > 5 < 7 years Safety and Construction: > 5 < 7 years	Project Planner	3%	Score 80 - Key staff has extensive levels of relevant experience. Site Management: > 7 < 9 years Safety and Construction: > 7 < 9 years	Health and Safety Officer	5%	Score 100 - Key staff has outstanding levels of relevant experience. Site Management: > 10 years Safety and Construction: > 10 years	Environmental Officer	5%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.	T2-2-03	Management of O/s	20
	Profession / Resource	Weighting	Scoring																										
	Project Manager	10%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.																										
	Construction Manager	10%	Score 20 - Key staff do not have relevant levels of experience. Site Management: < 2 years Safety and Construction: < 2 years																										
	Quantity Surveyor	5%	Score 40 - Key staff has limited levels of relevant experience. Site Management: < 2.5 years Safety and Construction: < 2.5 years																										
Document Controller	2%	Score 60 - Key staff has the required minimum levels of experience. Site Management: > 5 < 7 years Safety and Construction: > 5 < 7 years																											
Project Planner	3%	Score 80 - Key staff has extensive levels of relevant experience. Site Management: > 7 < 9 years Safety and Construction: > 7 < 9 years																											
Health and Safety Officer	5%	Score 100 - Key staff has outstanding levels of relevant experience. Site Management: > 10 years Safety and Construction: > 10 years																											
Environmental Officer	5%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.																											
Specific Brownfields conveyor design and construction experience	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Profession / Resource</th> <th>Weighting</th> <th>Scoring</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Project Manager</td> <td>10%</td> <td>Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction Manager</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Score 20 - Minimal level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: < 2 years Engineers post professional registration experience: < 2 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mechanical Engineer (Bulk Materials Handling) (Resource as listed above)</td> <td>15%</td> <td>Score 40 - Limited level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: < 2.5 years Engineers post professional registration experience: < 2.5 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mechanical Engineer (Fire and Building Services)</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Score 60 - Required minimum level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 5 &lt; 7 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 5 &lt; 7 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Electrical Engineer (Resource as listed above)</td> <td>10%</td> <td>Score 80 - Extensive level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 7 &lt; 9 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 7 &lt; 9 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Structural Engineer (Resource as listed above)</td> <td>10%</td> <td>Score 100 - Outstanding level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 10 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 10 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Civil Engineer</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Profession / Resource	Weighting	Scoring	Project Manager	10%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.	Construction Manager	5%	Score 20 - Minimal level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: < 2 years Engineers post professional registration experience: < 2 years	Mechanical Engineer (Bulk Materials Handling) (Resource as listed above)	15%	Score 40 - Limited level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: < 2.5 years Engineers post professional registration experience: < 2.5 years	Mechanical Engineer (Fire and Building Services)	5%	Score 60 - Required minimum level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 5 < 7 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 5 < 7 years	Electrical Engineer (Resource as listed above)	10%	Score 80 - Extensive level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 7 < 9 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 7 < 9 years	Structural Engineer (Resource as listed above)	10%	Score 100 - Outstanding level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 10 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 10 years	Civil Engineer	5%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.	T2-2-03	Management of O/s	20	
Profession / Resource	Weighting	Scoring																											
Project Manager	10%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.																											
Construction Manager	5%	Score 20 - Minimal level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: < 2 years Engineers post professional registration experience: < 2 years																											
Mechanical Engineer (Bulk Materials Handling) (Resource as listed above)	15%	Score 40 - Limited level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: < 2.5 years Engineers post professional registration experience: < 2.5 years																											
Mechanical Engineer (Fire and Building Services)	5%	Score 60 - Required minimum level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 5 < 7 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 5 < 7 years																											
Electrical Engineer (Resource as listed above)	10%	Score 80 - Extensive level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 7 < 9 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 7 < 9 years																											
Structural Engineer (Resource as listed above)	10%	Score 100 - Outstanding level of knowledge. Key staff have worked on projects of a similar nature and size and have experience of issues pertinent to the project. Site Management: > 10 years Engineers post professional registration experience: > 10 years																											
Civil Engineer	5%	Score 0 - The Tenderer has submitted no information to determine a Score.																											
Previous Experience and Proven Track Record	<p>Tenderers are required to demonstrate performance in comparable projects of similar size and nature by supplying the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction of similar works as detailed in the Works Information with reference to: Brownfields conveyor design and construction experience Mechanical/Structural/Civil/Building/Electrical works Reinforcing structures related to the works information Concrete Works related to the structures in works information Clearing of cargo spillages and disposal <p>Five (5) reference letters from companies where the Respondent has provided a similar service in the last (5) years where the Respondent has completed a similar type of project as the main contractor on the project or as a joint venture partner, along with supporting document of contactable references detail as follows:</p> <p>All requested information and documentation must be submitted for each of the references to be valid and able to score points in accordance with the scoring principal.</p>	<p>Proven track record</p> <p>Five (5) reference letters from companies where the Respondent has provided a similar service</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Written confirmation from five (5) company submitted = 100 Written confirmation from four (4) company submitted = 80 Written confirmation from three (3) company submitted = 60 Written confirmation from two (2) company submitted = 40 Written confirmation from one (1) company submitted = 20 No written confirmation from submitted = 0 <p>Previous Experience</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Tenderer's previous experience presented demonstrates real confidence extensive understanding in all of the categories as required = 100 The Tenderer's previous experience presented demonstrates a real understanding and substantive evidence of the ability meet the stated project requirements. The tenderer has extensive previous experience in relation to the works = 80 The Tenderer's previous experience presented demonstrates knowledge and experience to successfully execute this project scope = 60 The Tenderer's previous experience presented has some relevance to the project but lacks detail i.e. Description of previous projects, value and references = 40 The Tenderer's previous experience presented has no relevance to the scope of this project and does not address any of the required categories = 20 The Tenderer failed to address the question / issue. Has not submitted any information = 0 	T2-2-04	Previous Experience Proven track record	7.5																								
						7.5																							

TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS

TENDER NUMBER: TPT/2024/04/0023/63121/RFP

DESCRIPTION OF THE WORKS: IMPORT COKING COAL REBULD PROGRAMME, HV GALLERIES AT THE DRY BULK TERMINAL PORT OF RICHARDS BAY, FOR TRANSNET SOC LTD (REG. NO. 1990/000900/30) OPERATING AS TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS, (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS "TPT")

Section	Description	Weighting	Scoring	Code	Category	Score			
Previous Experience and Proven Track Record	Tenderers are required to demonstrate performance in comparable projects of similar size and nature by supplying the following: Construction of similar works as detailed in the Works Information with reference to: •Beltfields conveyor design and construction experience •Mechanical/Structural/Civil/Building/Electrical works •Retaining structures related to the works information •Concrete Works related to the structures in works information •Cleaning of cargo spillages and disposal	Five (5) reference letters from companies where the Respondent has provided a similar service Written confirmation from Five (5) companies submitted = 100 Written confirmation from four (4) company submitted = 80 Written confirmation from three (3) company submitted = 60 Written confirmation from two (2) company submitted = 40 Written confirmation from one (1) company submitted = 20 No written confirmation from submitter = 0		T2.2-04	Previous Experience Proven track record	7.5			
	Five (5) reference letters from companies where the Respondent has provided a similar service in the last 10 years where the Respondent has completed a similar type of project as the main contractor on the projects or as a joint venture partner, along with supporting document of comparable references shall as follows: All requested information and documentation must be submitted for each of the references to be valid and able to score points in accordance with the scoring criteria.	Previous Experience The Tenderer's previous experience presented demonstrates real confidence extensive understanding in all of the categories as required = 100 The Tenderer's previous experience presented demonstrates a real understanding and substantial evidence of the ability meet the stated project requirements. The tenderer has extensive previous experience in relation to the works = 80 The Tenderer's previous experience demonstrated knowledge and experience to successfully execute this project scope = 60 The Tenderer's previous experience presented has some relevance to the project but lacks detail i.e. Description of previous projects, value and references = 40 The Tenderer's previous experience presented has no relevance to the scope of this project and did not address any of the required categories = 20 The Tenderer failed to address the question / issue. Has not submitted any information = 0					7.5		
Method Statement	The tenderers must sufficiently demonstrate the approach/methodology that will be employed to cover the scope of the project linked to the submitted project programme. The four key professionals above (eligibility) are actively deployed in the project execution as demonstrated in the method statement and the project delivery team supplied. Demonstrate the extent of direct involvement of the main CIDB entity as well as Key Professionals during project execution	Sections	Weighting	Scoring	T2.2-05	Method Statement	30		
		Contractor site establishment	7%	Score 0: The tenderer has submitted no information to determine a score.					
		Removal of scrap / spillages & safe disposal	7%	Score 20: The methodology/approach and work alignment to project schedule is poorly presented and not tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. Score 40: The methodology/approach is not tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The methodology approach does not deal with the critical characteristics of the project.					
		Assessment and designs	33%	Score 60: Satisfactory response/resolution to the particular aspect of the requirement and evidence given that the stated employer's requirements will be met.					
		Site installation	33%	Score 80: The methodology/approach is specifically tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The methodology/approach to manage activities is specifically tailored to the critical characteristics of the project.					
		Commissioning	13%	Score 100: Besides meeting the "S0" rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has outstanding knowledge of state-of-the-art approaches. The methodology approach details ways to improve the project outcomes and the quality of the outputs.					
		Project handover	7%						
Programme Information	The Contractor clearly indicates in the schedule all milestones, activities & information related to the following – •Risk •The Risk Allowances, •Health and safety requirements, •Resources set out in this contract, •Work by the Employer and Others, •Access to a part of the site / later than its access date, •Accessories, •Plant & Materials and other things to be provided by the Employer, •Information by Others, •Starting dates, access dates, Key Dates and Completion Date • Planned Completion for each Key Date for each option and the complete works • Shows how each activity on the Activity Schedule relates to the operations on each programme	Score 0 - The tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	Score 20 - The tenderer has addressed some but not all date requirements as listed in this returnable (4 of less of 12 addressed)	Score 40 - The tenderer has addressed some but not all date requirements as listed in this returnable (6 of 12 addressed)	Score 60 - The tenderer has addressed most but not all date requirements as listed in this returnable (8 of 12 addressed)	Score 80 - The tenderer has addressed most but not all date requirements as listed in this returnable (10 of 12 addressed)	Score 100 - The tenderer has addressed all date requirements as listed in this returnable (12 of 12 addressed)		
		T2.2-06	Programme	15					
		Meet the required timesframes	Ability to provide the services in terms of the Employer's requirements within the required timeframe as stated in the Works Information (Also Listed Below) and Tender Data by indicating, in a logical sequence, the order, the timing, and the duration of the works that will take place in order to Provide the Works. Timesframes to be achieved: 1. Completion is to be achieved no later than 180 working days from award or to which ever period later amended. 2. Completion of condition assessments. To be achieved no later than 10 working days from award or to which ever period later amended. 3. Completion of engineering. To be achieved no later than 30 working days from award or to which ever period later amended. 4. Completion of off-site fabrication and delivery of steel items. To be achieved no later than 120 working days from award or to which ever period later amended. 5. Site Establishment Complete. To be achieved no later than 40 working days from award or to which ever period later amended. 6. Completion of Coking Coal Infrastructure (Feed restered and operational). To be achieved no later than 110 working days from Site Establishment or to which ever period later amended.	Score 0 - The tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	Score 20 - The programme does not meet any of the required timesframes, key dates and sectional completion dates	Score 40 - The programme does not meet any (less than 40%) of the required timesframes, key dates and sectional completion dates	Score 60 - The programme does not meet all (more than 40%, but less than or equal to 60%) of the required timesframes, key dates and sectional completion dates	Score 80 - The programme does meet all (more than 60%, but less than or equal to 99%) of the required timesframes, key dates and sectional completion dates	Score 100 - The programme does meet All of the required timesframes, key dates and sectional completion dates
				T2.2-06	Programme	15			
				Resourcing & Equipment	The Contractor indicates for each operation, how the Contractor plans to do the work identifying the principal Equipment and other resources which he plans to use. Resources & equipment are loaded against activities with them to the programme for evaluation.	Score 0 - The tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	Score 20 - The tenderer has addressed some but not all resource requirements and the submission is missing critical both resources & equipment which renders it unrealistic / unachievable.	Score 40 - The tenderer has addressed some but not all resource requirements and the submission is missing either critical resources or equipment which renders it unrealistic / unachievable.	Score 60 - The tenderer has addressed some but not all resource requirements and the submission is missing some resources & equipment, but not critical providing the works, which renders it at risk of being unrealistic / unachievable.
T2.2-06	Programme	5							
TOTAL RATING						100			

Functionality shall be scored independently by not less than 3 (three) evaluators and averaged in accordance with the following schedules:

- T2.2-02 CIDB Registration
- T2.2-03 Eligibility – Professional Registration
- T2.2-04 Programme
- T2.2-05 Management & CV's of Key Persons
- T2.2-06 Previous Experience
- T2.2-07 Method Statement

Each evaluation criteria will be assessed in terms of scores of 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 or 100. The scores of each of the evaluators will be averaged, weighted and then totalled

TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS

TENDER NUMBER: TPT/2024/04/0023/63121/RFP

DESCRIPTION OF THE WORKS: IMPORT COKING COAL REBUILD PROGRAMME, HV GALLERIES AT THE DRY BULK TERMINAL PORT OF RICHARDS BAY, FOR TRANSNET SOC LTD (REG. NO. 1990/000900/30) OPERATING AS TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS, (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS "TPT")

to obtain the final score for functionality, unless scored collectively. (See CIDB Inform Practice Note #9).

Note: Any tender not complying with the above mentioned requirements, will be regarded as non-responsive and will therefore not be considered for further evaluation. This note must be read in conjunction with Clause C.2.1.

TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS

TENDER NUMBER: TPT/2024/04/0023/63121/RFP

DESCRIPTION OF THE WORKS: IMPORT COKING COAL REBUILD PROGRAMME, HV GALLERIES AT THE DRY BULK TERMINAL PORT OF RICHARDS BAY, FOR TRANSNET SOC LTD (REG. NO. 1990/000900/30) OPERATING AS TRANSNET PORT TERMINALS, (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS "TPT")

C.3.11. Only tenders that achieve the minimum qualifying score for functionality will be evaluated further in accordance with the 90/10 preference points systems as described in Preferential Procurement Regulations.

90 where the financial value of one or more responsive tenders received have a value equal to or above R50 million, inclusive of all applicable taxes.

Thresholds	Minimum Threshold
Technical / functionality	70

Evaluation Criteria	Final Weighted Scores
Price	90
Specific goals - Scorecard	10
TOTAL SCORE:	100

Up to 100 minus W_1 tender evaluation points will be awarded to tenderers who complete the preferencing schedule and who are found to be eligible for the preference claimed. **Should the evidence required for any of the Specific Goals applicable in this tender not be provided, a tenderer will score zero preference points for that particular "Specific Goal".**

In terms of Transnet Preferential Procurement Policy (TPPP) and Procurement Manuals, the following preference points must be awarded to a bidder who provides the relevant required evidence for claiming points

Preference Point System 90/10		
Specific Goal	Number of Points	Price
B-BBEE Level 1 and 2	3	
Black Owned EMEs and QSEs (51% BO)	2	
Subcontracting 30% of the value of the contract to EME's and QSE's (51%)	3	
Local content and production	2	
Total	10	90

The following Table represents the evidence to be submitted for claiming preference points for applicable specific goals in a particular tender:

Specific Goals	Acceptable Evidence
B-BBEE	B-BBEE Certificate / Sworn-Affidavit B-BBEE Certificate (in case of JV, a consolidated scorecard will be accept) as per DTIC guidelines
Local Content and Local Production	Returnable Local Content and production Annexures
The promotion of supplier development through sub-contracting or JV for a minimum of 30% of the value of a contract to South African Companies which are: . 30% Black Women, 51% black Youth and 51% people with disabilities . Entities with a specified minimum B-BBEE level (1 and 2) . EMEs and/or QSEs who are 51% black-owned	Sub-contracting agreements and Declaration / Joint Venture Agreement. Certified copy of ID Documents of the Owners and B-BBEE Certificate / Affidavit (in case of JV, a consolidate scorecard will be accept) of the sub-contracted entities.

The maximum points for this bid are allocated as follows:

DESCRIPTION	POINTS
PRICE	90
- B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL OF CONTRIBUTION 1-2 - Black Owned EMEs and QSEs (51% BO) - SUBCONTRACTING 30% OF THE VALUE OF THE CONTRACT TO EME'S AND QSE'S 51% - LOCAL CONTENT	10
Total points for Price and Specific Goals must not exceed	100

Note: Transnet reserves the right to carry out an independent audit of the tenderers' scorecard components at any stage from the date of close of the tenders until completion of the contract.

C.3.13 Tender offers will only be accepted if:

1. The tenderer or any of its directors/shareholders is not listed on the Register of Tender Defaulters in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act of 2004 as a person prohibited from doing business with the public sector;
2. the tenderer does not appear on Transnet's list for restricted tenderers and National Treasury's list of Tender Defaulters;
3. the tenderer has fully and properly completed the Compulsory Enterprise Questionnaire and there are no conflicts of interest which may impact on the tenderer's ability to perform the contract in the best interests of the Employer or potentially compromise the tender process and persons in the employ of the state.
4. Transnet reserves the right to award the tender to the tenderer who scores the highest number of points overall, unless there are **objective criteria** which will justify the award of the tender to another tenderer. Objective criteria include but are not limited to the outcome of a due diligence exercise to be conducted. The due diligence exercise may take the following factors into account inter alia; the tenderer:
 - a) is not under restrictions, or has principals who are under restrictions, preventing participating in the employer's procurement,
 - b) is not undergoing a process of being restricted by Transnet or other state institution that Transnet may be aware of,
 - c) can, as necessary and in relation to the proposed contract, demonstrate that he or she possesses the professional and technical qualifications, professional and technical competence, financial resources, equipment and other physical facilities, managerial capability, reliability, experience and reputation, expertise and the personnel, to perform the contract,
 - d) has the legal capacity to enter into the contract,
 - e) is not insolvent, in receivership, under Business Rescue as provided for in chapter 6 of the Companies Act, 2008, bankrupt or being wound up, has his affairs administered by a court or a judicial officer, has suspended his business activities, or is subject to legal proceedings in respect of any of the foregoing,
 - f) complies with the legal requirements, if any, stated in the tender data and
 - g) is able, in the option of the employer to perform the contract free of conflicts of interest.

C.3.17 The number of paper copies of the signed contract to be provided by the Employer is 1 (one).