

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy to Design, Supply, Install and Commission Fire Protection System to Address Worst Case Scenario**

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **11**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative.....	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	3
2.3.1 Classification	3
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS.....	4
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	5
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	9
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	10
3.6.1 Risks.....	10
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions.....	10
4. AUTHORISATION.....	11
5. REVISIONS	11
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	11
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	4
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....	9
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	10
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	10
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	10
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	10

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. INTRODUCTION

This technical evaluation strategy describes the mandatory and qualitative evaluation criteria for the design, supply, install and commission fire protection system to address worst case scenario.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document will only cover the technical tender evaluation criteria for the Design, Supply, Install and Commission Fire Protection System to Address Worst Case Scenario.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document only applies to the Design, Supply, Install and Commission Fire Protection System to Address Worst Case Scenario for Hendrina Power Station.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1033 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [3] 32-1034 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

2.2.2 Informative

- [4] 240-53113685 Design Review Procedure
- [5] 240-53114026 Project Engineering Change Management Procedure

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CoC	Certificate of Compliance
DoL	Department of Labour
SANS	South African National Standard
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 32-1034 Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Employer Works Information Design, Supply, Install and Commission Fire Protection System to Address Worst Case Scenario

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) is 70

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	<p>The contractor must be registered with DoL – department of labour as an Electrical Installation contractor and must produce a certificate to prove it. The certificate must have IE registration number to show that they are able to issue CoCs</p> <p>Note: A Certificate of a Sub-contractor will be accepted provided it's submitted with a contract agreement stating that all electrical works will be done by the holder of the DoL registration.</p>	<p>Returnable: Certified copy of specified company certificate or certified copy of official letter from notifying body stating that assessment has passed. Copy of certification must not be older than 3 months from date of submission.</p> <p>Eskom will contact the notifying body to confirm authenticity of letter. Notifying must confirm letter is accurate and correct.</p>	Eskom requirement
2.	<p>The detail designs in terms of this Contract are to be executed by a qualified professional engineer or technologist for each discipline (Mechanical and Electrical) who is a member of Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA).</p>	<p>ECSA professional certificate accompanied by CV of the designer for each of the below disciplines, as proof of compliance to the mandatory requirement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Registered Pr Eng (Mech) or Pr Eng Tech (Mech) • Registered Pr Eng (Elec) or Pr Eng Tech (Elec) 	South African requirement for all individuals performing engineering work.

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	Company experience			20	
	1.1	Mechanical installation work of pipes, motors, pumps and control panel for fire protection system	Demonstrate experience on similar projects. Provide the following information for evaluation purposes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Name of company where project was executed 2. Project description 3. Construction period 4. Contract value 5. Contact person 6. Signed stamped written letter with reference number, a contract or purchase order 	5 – 5 or more projects completed with respect to fire protection relevant to the employer works information 4 – 3 projects completed with respect to fire protection relevant to the employer works information 2 – 2 projects completed with respect to fire protection relevant to the employer works information 0 – Less than 2 projects or no projects completed or irrelevant to the employer works information	
2.	Data sheets			20	
	2.1	The contractor must submit data sheets for tendering purpose	Data sheets required for <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diesel engine and pump 	5 – All data sheets provided	

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy to Design, Supply, Install and Commission Fire Protection System to Address Worst Case Scenario

Unique Identifier: **C.GHE0831**
 Revision: **1**
 Page: **7 of 11**

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrical pump and motor • Fire tank sheet panel • Deluge valve • Pressure switch • Flow switch 	4 – 5 sheets submitted 2 – 3 sheets submitted 0 – Less than 3 sheet submitted	
3.	Method Statement			40	
	3.1	Mechanical method statement	Provide a detailed execution strategy for the following (including reference points to equipment, critical personnel and standards). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supply, Install and Commissioning procedures for pump, engine and control panels for diesel pump and electrical pump • Supply, install and commission diesel pump (WTP) fire protection • Supply, install and commission turbine bearing and underfloor fire system 	5 – Detailed execution strategy including all necessary requirements 4 – Detailed execution strategy but missing reference points 2 – Poorly defined execution strategy but including all systems 0 – Execution strategy irrelevant to the required works and missing atleast 1 system	20
	3.2	Configuration management method statement	Method statement to include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plant labelling and stencilling project schedule • Description of plant identification using KKS standard • Selection of materials to be used for various plant areas • Labels attachment method 	5 – All the requirements specified have been covered in the method statement 4 – Plant labelling schedule, labels attachment and stencilling methods have been covered in the method statement 2 – Plant labelling schedule has been included in the method statement	20

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stencilling method for pipes and cylinders 	0 – Technical method statement has not been included in the submission	
4	QCP			10	
	4.1	Provide a QCP/QIP relevant to the scope of work		5 – QCP/QIP according to scope with intervention points such as hold 4 – QCP/QIP including most of the scope 2 – QCP/QIP relevant to scope but no intervention points 0 – No submission	
5	Detailed work programme			10	
	5.1	Provide detailed programme to illustrating how to execute technical scope of works within the specified project duration.	Level 3 programme complying with Project target date indicating all major activities from contract award to Plant handover. Activities is all project work to be done by contractor, or by any sub-contractors been used by the contractor as per Employers Project target date. The programme must show hold-points for approval of the works by the Employer's professional team (i.e., key milestones are incorporated into the programme).	5 – Programme submitted all info supplied 4 – submitted most 2 – only some 0 – Programme not submitted	
				TOTAL: 100 Threshold (70)	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 2
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 2
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
3	X	X	X
4	X	X	X
5	X	X	X

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Alternative solutions with the same or better performance

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Exclusion of scope as detailed in the employers requirement

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	No service level agreement with regards to outsourced companies

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.