

## ANNEXURE A

## RFP040/2024 RESPONSES TO BIDDERS

No.	Query	Response
		2
0	The spreadsheets that were promised during the tender briefing have not yet been shared – please can you send those to us to fill in for the submission?	Provided with the Addendum
1	The document does not specify the tender validity period – what amount of time should we allow?	90 Days period will be applicable
2	Duration of the contract period states 12 months; Is this for Design only or Construction Completion as the procurement process can be a substantial part of the 12 months allocated?	This is for stage 1 to 4. Stages 5 to 7 shall have a construction period once the Contractor is appointed.
4	What level of site supervision do we need to price for?	Supervision to be as per the ECSA guidelines stages 5 & 6
5	What provision should be made to accommodate the Project Steering Committee and facilitate meetings?	Those shall be part of Construction tender documentation in BoQs
6	There is no mention of Local Empowerment – Has this been considered?	To be considered for construction phase in BoQ
7	<ol> <li>Is there any provision for survey and/or Geotechnical Engineering?</li> <li>Would their services be required during the process or are there historic specialist studies available?</li> </ol>	These are part of stages one & 2 to be priced by PSPs

		PSPs must develop all information
		in full. No reliable historic
		information is available for now
8	Will PrTech registration be acceptable or only PrEng where PrEng is listed?	Pr.Eng only will be accepted where
		it is specified.
9	There is no mention of an Environmental Management Officer (EMO), must we price for one or will the	Yes it is on the circulated
	client will provide one?	spreadsheet
10	On OHS Agent and EMO, will they be required on a full time basis or only periodic visits?	These are required as per normal
		PSP services to ensure compliance
		and reporting monthly
11	Can the capacity of the existing North, West and East facilities be provided?	This is part of PSP work to
		accurately determine these
		parameters
12	Our sub-consultants are not willing to have their CV's on the main consultant's CV format – will a cover letter with their intent to sub-consult should the project be awarded suffice?	Yes this is acceptable
13	Please consider the pricing document for the referenced bid.	X
		PSP Pricing Table.xlsx

C2.2.2 (A.2) PROFESSIONAL FEES (AVERAGE PERCENTAGE (%) OF A RANGE OF ESTIMATED PROJECT VALUE CATEGORIES

A	В		c		)	1	E	F
PROJECT VALUE CATEGORY (RANDS)	TENDERED TEAM (RANDS) STAGE 1 Brought forward from table C2.2.2.(A.1)	ST	RED TEAM (%) AGE 1 I + Column A	JACKET STATE OF THE STATE OF	ED TEAM 6) E 2 - 7	(%) T STAG	ED TEAM OTAL E 1 - 7 • Column D	AVERAGE % = Column E Total + 7
1. (R0 to R50 000 000)	R	*	%	%1 =	%	%1 =	%	
2. (R50 000 000 001 to R100 000 000)	R	•	%	%2 =	%	%2 =	%	
3. (R100 000 001 to R20 000 000)	R	-	%	%3 =	%	%3 =	%	
4. (R250 000 001 to R300 000 000)	R		%	%4 =	%	%4 =	%	9
5. (R300 000 001 to R450 000 000)	R		%	%5 <b>=</b>	%	%5 =	%	,
6. (R450 000 001 to R600 000 000)	R		%	%6 =	%	%6 =	%	
7. (More than R600 000 000)	R		%	%7 =	%	%7 =	%	
				Total Colu	mn E =		%	

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Column B - Should different values be applicable to price ranges, kindly duplicate table C.2.2.2 (A1) for each price range.

We believe line 2. should be for the project value category R50,000,001 (not R50,000,0001) to R100,000,000.

We believe line 3. should be for the project value category R100,000,001 to R200,000,000 (not R20,000,000).

Furthermore, line 4. should then be for the category R200,000,001 (not R250,000,001) to R300,000,000.

Please could you confirm.

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Column C - For the Calculation of Column C, the upper value of Column A is to be used.

14	On page 27 of 109, point (iii) "The DBSA reserves the right to not award any bidder that has a total of three (3) active awards/ orders with an outstanding value, if the outstanding value is 10% or less, indicating the project is nearing completion, or reached practical completion, the bidder may be recommended."  1 This statement seems somewhat ambiguous, i.e. either bidders are not recommended with projects with outstanding value is less than 10%, or they cant be?	i)	It is a risk also based on performance on such projects. Percentages is an indicative figure to minimise concentration risk)
	I) Does this apply to DBSA tenders only? If the clause applies to all outstanding work, it could mean that no company can have more than three current projects, which is not realistic from a business perspective.	ii)	(This is a DBSA approach based on performance due to concentration risk on previous contracts)
15	Engineer's Representative		
	<ul> <li>i) Page 17 of 109: Under the responsiveness criteria; 26.1 STAGE ONE: Test for Administrative Responsiveness, the requirement is for: "National Diploma, B-Tech or Degree in Civil Engineering plus 10 years' post registration experience— (Pr.Tech Eng or Pr. Techni Eng). 7 years waste-water treatment plant experience</li> <li>ii) Page 107 of 109: Under C3.1.2. SCOPE OF WORKS, again "National Diploma, B-Tech or</li> </ul>	i)	Ten years from date one achieved professional registration. Please Refer to the table under responsiveness please that summarises these
	Degree in Civil Engineering plus 10 years' post registration experience – (Pr. Tech Eng or Pr. Techni Eng). Seven years waste-water treatment plant experience"		requirements.
	iii) Page 97 of 107: However, under C2.2.1 TIME-BASED FEES, C2.2.1(J) DISCIPLINE / SERVICE: ENGINEER'S REPRESENTATIVES (TIME-BASED FEES) it states "National Diploma, B-Tech or Degree in Civil Engineering plus 15 years' post-registration	ii)	Refer to the table under responsiveness please that summarises these requirements

	We believe for the latter reference the 15 years experience should be changed to the 10 years experience,	iii)	Refer to the table under
	as per the <u>responsiveness criteria</u> . Please could you confirm?		responsiveness please that summarises these requirements.
		responsi	the table under veness please that ises these requirements
16	Scope of works		
	II) Upgrading of inlet works: We understand the inlet works is very flat and the hydraulic	I)	This is correct.
	gradient needs to be improved. We presume DBSA is open to this recommendation if it comes out of the status quo / prelim report as necessary, even if not specifically mentioned in die bid?	II)	PSP to confirm and advise accordingly on al technical related issues.
	III) Construction of 1 PST: can we assume that the levels of the PST is correct, and that material tests results (e.g. concrete cubes) were completed under the previous contract?	III)	Biorectors to include all works to be done on the
	IV) Upgrading of bio-reactors: the repair / upgrading of air diffusers in the turn-key contract as well, and so is submersible mixers. Could you please clarify how this responsibility will be split?		aerobic, anaerobic, anoxic and aeration zones. These to be deliberated through
	V) Balancing tanks: Has the flow balancing hydraulics been integrated with the inlet works hydraulics? Is there a detailed hydraulic profile available from the previous contract.		stages 1 & 2 of PSP inputs
	VI) New Work at Rooiwal - Construction of two primary settling tanks:		

	<ul> <li>VII) New Work at Rooiwal -Alteration to the flow splitter structure to include the new division of flow: With reference again to the very flat hydraulic gradient, this work may require complete modification of the inlet works?</li> <li>VIII) West Works: Much work is specified for anaerobic digestion. We assume then that</li> </ul>	IV)	No detailed information is available. This must be established under this contract.
	all biofilters (currently out of commission) must be refurbished too?	V)	Yes this shall be part of PSP scope from stages 1 to 7. Available information done by previous PSP shall be shared.
		VI)	The PSP to redesign the systems including all due diligence needed.
		VII)	All works must be considered in scope of works
17	<ul> <li>i) A plant of the significance of Rooiwal must have (or must have had) a Water Use License in place, and it's not clear what is required?</li> <li>ii) Has the Water Use License expired, which means it is a re-application, or do we need to start with a full / fresh application process?</li> </ul>	i)	(PSP to advise based on current information. If new application are needed etc.)

	-	oo we need to do an EIA, since we understand this is repair of existing works and not an pgrade to increase capacity of the original?	ii)	(This shall be part of stage 1 & 2 through the environmental specialist)
			iii)	This shall be evaluated should new works are required
18	Information	not in the bid document		
	i)	What are the current design capacities in MI/d for Northern works, Eastern Works and Western works?	i)	These to be confirmed at stage 1
	ii)	We understand the intention is not to increase the capacities, but only to return to the original design capacity?		&2 as part of PSP inputs
	iii)	Can DBSA make available the effluent limit values as per current / expired WUL?	ii)	This to be reviewed and PSP to advise
	iv)	Is it the intention that the effluent limit values in the license will change if do, to what?		based on stage 1 & 2 outputs.
			iii)	This information is not available at hand. PSP to assist in
			iv)	obtaining this. This information is not available at hand.

		PSP to assist in obtaining this
19	Typical staged approach  O DBSA tenders for PSP normally include all detail of services and deliverables under of all 6 (or 7) stages of the project, as per ECSA guidleines. Although not specifically included in this bid, we assume this would apply as per normal DBSA tenders. Please confirm.	Yes this shall apply
20	<ul> <li>i) Regarding the key personnel's CVs, your technical colleague mentioned in the briefing session that took place on Tuesday, the 9<sup>th</sup> of April 2024, that all the personnel's CVs should be under one enterprise/consulting company letterhead with the same formatting. Kindly advise on whether this is correct and if so, is this applicable to bidders that intend on bidding with subconsultants? In my understanding, it is not exactly lawful to proclaim that an individual is under a company's employ without a formal employment contract, unless a Letter of Intent would suffice in this case?</li> <li>ii) Kindly advise how many Engineer's Representatives the bidder should supply, seeing as this is not specified in the document.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>i) They maybe engaged as sub consultants as long it is articulated in writing between parties</li> <li>ii) Resources required are to be as per the table presented under responsiveness criteria</li> </ul>
21	i) The expected construction duration. C3.1.1 under the Scope of Work gives an estimate of 12 months. This however seems to relate to the incorrect information given in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph that refers to National Norms and Standards for schools. Given the amount of work detailed under C3.1.2, a construction duration of 12 months appears to be unrealistic.	<ul> <li>i) The actual construction duration to be determined in stages 3 &amp; 4. This was high level estimation</li> </ul>

	ii)	Environmental Management. Has Environmental Authorisation been given for the project or will this have to be obtained by the appointed Service Provider?	ii)	Since this is an existing running site. Any further
	iii)	WULA. Have the necessary water use licences and authorisations already been obtained or will these have to be obtained by the appointed Service Provider?		requirements maybe determined during stages 1 & 2
	iv)	In the event that EA and WULA licences and authorisations must be obtained by the Service Provider, how should these be priced for as there is no item allowed in the Pricing Schedule? There is also no requirement for an Environmental Practioner in the tender document. The costs of obtaining EIAs and WULA authorisations are once-off costs and as such separate payment items should be provided for these. These services are defined as "Additional Services" in the ECSA Guidelines and payment should made separately for them. Payment for these services should not form part of the percentage fee.  Payment for Social Facilitators and Engineer's Representatives are defined as "Additional Services" in the ECSA Guidelines and payment is made separately for these services. These services are not covered by the normal percentage fee. We recommend that separate items be allowed for these services based on a fixed monthly cost. Should our recommendation not be accepted, kindly advise how these services, which are a direct cost to the Service Provider, will be paid for in the event that the construction contract extends beyond the due completion date.	iii) iv)	These shall be investigated and advised during stages 1 & 2  This provision for the Environmental specialist has been included in the pricing schedule circulated  This must be covered as % and this must cater for these services at worst scenario basis assuming the construction period can go up to 24 months.
22.	In line	th alone 7.2 /mag 0 of 100 of DEDO40/2024) ((if a Diddon finds on managed)   helic activities for ad-		ne urgency of the Project a
	any discr	th clause 7.2. (page 9 of 109 of RFP040/2024) "If a Bidder finds or reasonably believes it has found epancy, ambiguity, error or inconsistency in this RFP or any other information provided by the her than minor clerical matters), the Bidder must promptly notify the DBSA in writing of such	_	tension than the 24 <sup>th</sup> April e accommodated.

1258 Lever Rd,

Headway Hill,

Midrand,

1685

011 313 3911

011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

	-	cy, ambiguity, error or inconsistency in order to afford the DBSA an opportunity to consider what action is necessary (if any)", kindly find attached a consolidated list		
	received returnabl	er request DBSA to consider extending the submission deadline, after consideration of the queries and the effort required to consolidate and include the DBSA responses into the Tender es. yhe suggestion, as contained in Query No 1, is that an extension to 29 April 2024 should be to enable adjustments to be effected.		
	We will w	vait for your consolidated response to the queries as per the RFP stipulation.		
23.			i)	Extended to the 24 <sup>th</sup>
	i)	We would like to ask for a three weeks extension to the tender submission date. This will allow		April 2024
		us bidders to have adequate and necessary time for consideration and inclusion of all necessary aspects as per the RFP for a proposal and a project of this magnitude and nature.	ii)	This shall be shared vis SCM
	i)	Could you please also provide us with the One Drive submission link as mentioned in your RFP.		