

TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA TO BE APPLIED ON THESE TENDER.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	Compliance to Eskom Specification			25	100
	1.1	Product Information Sheet	Compliant or Non-complaint to Specification		25
	1.2.1	Performance Guarantee: Pressure Drop	Compliant or Non-complaint to Specification		10
	1.2.2	Performance Guarantee: Emissions	Compliant or Non-complaint to Specification		15
	1.2.3	Performance Guarantee: Bag Life	Compliant or Non-complaint to Specification		15
	1.3.1	Delivery Schedule: Forecast Delivery Schedule Confirmation	Compliant or Non-complaint to Specification		10
	1.3.2	Delivery Schedule: Project Plan	Supplied or not supplied		10
	1.4	Lead Times	10 points for on target lead time. +1 point per week for earlier lead time and -2 points per week for later lead times		15
2.	Previous Experience			10	100
	2.1	Similar products provided elsewhere	Yes or No (Credible proof of at least 3 orders)		100
3.	Manufacturing Equipment			15	100
	3.1	Number of Tubers	5 points for every continuous tuber and 3 points for every manual tuber.		20
	3.2	Number of double stitch machines	1 point for each machine		20
	3.3	Number of triple stitch machines	2 points for each machine		10
	3.4	Riveting Machines	Needs at least 1 machine (10 points for 1 machine)		10
	3.5	Press profile cutter	Needs at least 1 machine (10 points for 1 machine)		10
	3.6	Manpower resources (for each task area such machinists, packers etc)	2 points per Production Supervisor (max. 4 points), 2		20

			points per QC (max. 4 points), 1 point per machinist (max. 16 points)		
	3.7	Test bag station	Yes or No		10
4.	Manufacturing Capacity and Capability			10	100
	4.1	In-house or Outsourced Bag Manufacturing	In-house 100%, Out-sourced - 0%		100
5.	Technical Quality Assurance			20	100
	5.1	Dedicated and demarcated quarantine area	Yes or No		10
	5.2	Material Storage and Identification Control Procedure	Provide approved procedure		15
	5.3	Incoming Goods Inspection Procedure	Provide approved procedure		15
	5.4	Sub-supplier approval process	Provide approved procedure		15
	5.5	Sub-supplier performance management	Yes or No, Provide Proof		10
	5.6	Draft product ITP	Copy provided or not		35
6.	Product Assessment			20	100
	6.1	Has the supplier sourced an Eskom approved fabric for Eskom QA approval	Yes or No, Provide Proof		20
	6.2	Has the supplier production drawings for the components of the Eskom bags	Yes or No, Provide Proof		15
	6.3	Has the supplier produced the components and assembled the bags according to an Eskom bag drawing.	Yes or No, Provide Proof		25
	6.4	Has the bag been supplied to Eskom for plant fit assessment.	Yes or No, Provide Proof		20
	6.5	Has the product been accepted by Eskom.	Yes or No, Provide Proof		20
				TOTAL: 100	

THRESHOLD = 80%

TAKE NOTE= ONLY TECHNICAL SUITABLE IF SCORE IS EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 80%

A weighted score- card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications. Tenders need to have a weighted score of **80%** to technically qualify for further evaluation.

Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Procedure (32-1034) in conjunction with the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (PPPFA), No.5 of 2000:

NB: Tenderers will be expected to score a least a minimum threshold of **80%** to proceed to next phase, **if the tenderers score below 80 points will be considered technically unacceptable**; however, if all the received tenders score less than threshold Eskom reserves the rights to negotiate with the tenderer who score the most points