

Strategy

Maintenance

Technical Evaluation Strategy for Title: **Provision of Spares at Camden**

Power Station for a period of five

(5) years

Unique Identifier: 229 -T2468

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: **Camden Power**

Station EMD-AUX

Documentation Type: Strategy

Revision: 01

11 **Total Pages:**

Next Review Date: Once-Off

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED**

DISCLOSURE

Compiled by

Functional Responsibility

Authorised by

J. Radebe

Senior Advisor

Mack Matiwane

PTM Site Manager

S. Drotsky

Electrical Engineering

Manager

Date: .26/10/2023 Date: 27/10/2023 Date: 26/10/2023

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 2 of 11

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	
2.2.1 Normative	
2.3.1 Classification	
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS	
3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	9
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	
4. AUTHORISATION	
5. REVISIONS	
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	11
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
TABLES	
TABLES	
Table 1: TET Members	
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks	
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 3 of 11

1. INTRODUCTION

This document describes the process to be followed in performing technical evaluations during the tender evaluation for the Provision of spares and full maintenance (Preventative & corrective, removal, installation and repairs), continuous operating and monitoring of all heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems (including filter maintenance and pressurizing fans) at Camden Power Station for a period of five (5) years. The evaluation of tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet both mandatory and qualitative requirements. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tenders against the *Employer*'s requirements.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document refers to the Contractor Technical Evaluation and covers the different aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of the enquiry. The team members are listed and appointed in this document along with their responsibilities. The document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions.

The Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Mandatory Evaluation Criteria
- Qualitative Evaluation Criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications.

Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is finalised and authorised for issue to market, no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process. Mandatory

2.1.2 Applicability

This Technical Evaluation Strategy is applicable to Camden Power Station contract provision for spares and maintenance for HVAC system at Camden Power Station for a period if 5 years.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 4 of 11

2.3 DEFINITIONS

None

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
HVAC	Heating Ventilation Air-conditioning
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
SARACCA	South African Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Contractors Association
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form

3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation/Department
TET 1	Technical Advisor	Technical Support
TET 2	Senior Supervisor	EMD/PTM
TET 3	Senior Technician	EMD/PTM
TET 4	System Engineer	Electrical Engineering

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 5 of 11

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 2 defines all Mandatory Evaluation Criteria to be used as well as reference to specification and motivation for use of criteria. These criteria will not be scored. Each tender will be assessed on a YES/NO basis. If any answer below is NO the tenderer may be eliminated from the tendering process.

No	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	The tenderer to have SARACCA company's valid registration.	Proof of SARACCA registration (Certified)	Installation and Management of HVAC systems.
2.	Tenderer to confirm that they have a track record of Maintenance contracts above 12 months or 5 x purchase orders for installation, commissioning and Maintenance for Heating and Air Conditioning Systems (HVAC) in Power Station or similar environment.	Provide contract numbers and relevant testimonial or completion certificates of the maintenance contracts or purchase order numbers provided.	Previous similar work experience and capacity to perform the required work.
		NB: The testimonial certificates or completioncertificates shall consist of the following information:	
		a) Name of company where project was executed. b) Project Description	
		c) Construction period d) Verifiable reference (Contact person)	

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Compliant tenderers will be evaluated against a set of weighted qualitative evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria have been broken down into sections and a percentage weighting for each section is allocated. The Tenderer must ensure that his submission/proposal contains all

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 6 of 11

relevant data/proof to substantiate the Employer's weighted criteria as populated in. If no information from the submission file is available per criteria to be evaluated, the weighted score for those criteria will result in a zero without further clarification. Only information, which is presented, but ambiguous to the evaluators, will be allowed for further clarification.

Score	%	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT
		Meet technical requirement(s) AND;
		No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
3	50	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS AND KNOW HOW OF THE SPARES REQUESTED
		Meet technical requirement(s) with;
		Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;
		Acceptable exceptions AND/OR;
		Acceptable conditions.
0	0	NON-COMPLIANT, TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
		Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR;
		Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;
		Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR;
		Unacceptable conditions.

Note 1: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

Note 2: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3 $\,$

Note 3: The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tenderer to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 7 of 11

	Q	ualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Returnable	Tender	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	Qualif	ications			70	
		4 X Artisans to have Trade test in Aircon	Returnable	Score		70
	1.1	and Refrigeration, Safety Handling of Gas Certificate. 4 X Semiskilled to have 2 years related	3 Years related experience, Proof of Trade test, Matric/N3, and Safety Handling Certificate	40		
		experience and Matric (Grade 12)/N3 (Technical field of study).	- 2 Years related experience; Matric (Grade 12)/N3 (Technical).	10		
			- No Certified Certificates	0		
	1.2		Returnable	Score		
		1 X Site Supervisor to have 3 years	- CV and Proof of Diploma (Certified copy)	10		
		working experience, Technical Diploma	- No Certified Certificates	0		
	1.3		Returnable	Score		
		Company Organogram	Minimum expected people: Management team and SHEQ team for site support only as an when required.	10		
			- No Organogram	0		

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 8 of 11

2	Qualit	y Management System			10	
	2.1	Submit company quality manual policy or ISO 9001:2015 Certificate	ISO 9001: Certificate or QMS Policy			10
3	Work	experience (projects of similar scope)			20	
	3.1	Technical proposal that meets requirements of project scope	Technical proposal to include the following as minimum:	s a		20
			understanding of the scope of work as d by the functional requirements (SoW Documents: 229 – T2469.	etailed		
			Proposed approach and methodology which deliverables, and resource plan, however noto. Indicate by general service and main approach and method statements how the cowill perform the work.	ot limited ntenance		
			Returnable	Score		
			- Technical proposal	20		
			- No purchase orders	0		
					TOTAL: 100	

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 9 of 11

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1.	Х	Х	Х	Х
2.	Х	X	Х	Х
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1.	Х	Х	Х	Х
2.	Х	Х	Х	Х
3.	Х	Х	Х	Х

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01
Page: 10 of 11

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Marginally failing to meet the 70% threshold as stipulated in section 3.1.

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

ı	Risk	Description
1		Failing to meet any of the Technical Gatekeepers as listed in section 3.3, Table 2.

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

	Risk	Description
•	1.	As per the requirements set out under the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria, section 3.4 of this document.

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria, section 3.3 of this document.

Unique Identifier: 229 - T2468

Revision: 01

Page: **11 of 11**

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	
Thamie Mthiyane	System Engineer	
Nkosi Ndika	Chief Engineer - LPS	
Mack Matiwane	PTM Site Manager	
Banele Mthethwa	EMD Manager	

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
26 October 2023	01	J. Radebe	Original document

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Jabulani Radebe

Gerhard Botma

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None