Eskom		Instruction		Environmental
Title: Tender Technic Strategy for Su			Document Number:	HSTTPMM073
	Ground Water	r Monitoring	Alternative Reference Number:	N/A
			Area of Applicability:	Environment
			Documentation Type:	Strategy
			Revision:	0
			Total Pages:	11
			Next Review Date:	February 2028
			Disclosure Classification:	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

# CONTENTS

#### Page

1. INTRODUCTION	
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	
2.1 SCOPE 2.1.1 Purpose 2.1.2 Applicability. 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES 2.2.1 Normative 2.2.2 Informative 2.3 DEFINITIONS 2.3.1 Classification 2.4 ABBREVIATIONS 2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING 2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
<ul> <li>3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD</li></ul>	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED. 5 6 8 9 9
4. AUTHORISATION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
5. REVISIONS	11
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

### TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	8
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

This document outlines the technical criteria to be employed during the evaluation phase of the surface and ground water monitoring tender for a period of 5 years at Hendrina Power Station.

### 2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

#### 2.1 SCOPE

The scope specifies the technical criteria and conditions of acceptance for the required service to be rendered by the supplier for a period of 5 years at Hendrina Power Station.

#### 2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### 2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable within Hendrina Power Station.

#### 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

### 2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Procedure
- [3] 32-727: Safety, Health, Environment and Quality (SHEQ) Policy

#### 2.2.2 Informative

- [4] NEC3 Professional Services Contract
- [5] Hendrina Power Station Water Use Licence 24046033
- [6] Eskom Groundwater Sampling Guideline (GWG05b)
- [7] Eskom Groundwater Governance Guideline (240-85697643)

#### **2.3 DEFINITIONS**

Contractor: The Supplier who is awarded the contract and will deliver the services outlined in the document.

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Employer: Refers to Eskom, Hendrina Power Station

Contract Manager: The employee nominated by Eskom, Hendrina Power Station who will be overseeing the Surface and Ground water monitoring contract.

### 2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

### **2.4 ABBREVIATIONS**

Abbreviation	obreviation Description			
SANS	South African National Standards			
SACNASP	South African Council for Natural Scientific Professionals			
SoW	Scope of Work			
WUL	Water Use Licence			

### 2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

N/A as per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

### 2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

# 2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

- Eskom Groundwater Sampling Guideline (GWG05b)
- Eskom Groundwater Governance Guideline (240-85697643)

# **3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY**

### **3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD**

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3.2 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

### Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.	N/A	N/A	N/A
2.	N/A	N/A	N/A
3.	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.	N/A	N/A	N/A
5.	N/A	N/A	N/A

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Surface and Ground	Document No.:	HSTTPMM073
Water Monitoring	Revision:	0
	Page:	6 of 11

# 3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1. Resource Experience (CVs and Qualifications)		Experience, scientific standing, communication skills, independence and leadership of the team leader together with the fields of expertise and depth of the experience of the team members, in relation to the project or projects of similar nature. Preference will be given to consultants who are registered / certified by relevant professional body in the field e.g., SACNASP.	30	N/A
		Project manager should possess a BSc honours degree in Geohydrology or any other applicable qualification with at least 5 years' experience managing similar project and registered with SACNASP. CVs including employment history and proof of qualification to be attached. (10).		
		Project team should at least a Bachelor's degree in a field relevant to the scope of work, with at least 3 years' experience in ground water monitoring. CVs including employment history and proof of qualification to be attached (10).		
		SANAS Accreditation of the lab to be used for analysis using applicable method (10).		
2.	Organisations Capability and track record	Demonstration of complex projects of this nature that the organisation/company has been involved in.	20	N/A
		Submit experiential evidence on the Capacity to deliver on the project, give at least 2 references (5)		

# Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Technical Evaluation Strategy Monitoring		Document No.: Revision:	HSTTPMM073 0		
			Page:	7 of 11		
		comparable operating co History of successful co Evidence of the organized	nditions. (5) ompletion of similar zation's history of s	,		
3.	Programme or Activity Schedule	Submission of the surfact which caters for all the ph realistic timelines for all re and authorities. (10) Submission of a detailed - Project plan should covy work, including, but not lin should detail how it will a time frame. -Risk assessment which potential problems areas problems. The proposal t schedule, and any other i	nases and specialis eturnables i.e. repo project plan to achi er all activities as re mited to the stakeho chieve the varied as shows the ability to , and creativity and o demonstrate an u	studies to be done with t submissions to Eskom eve the scope of work (10) quired by the scope of older engagement. Plan spects over the required address anticipated feasibility of solutions to inderstanding of logistics,	25	N/A
4.	Understanding of the SOW and methodology	work has been catered for	ect as a whole. (10) give an indication t or appropriately (5) outline all the outpu d how they will be c stent with legislated	nat the particular scope of its as documented on the atered for on the project	25	N/A
					TOTAL: 100	

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Surface and Ground	Document No.:	HSTTPMM073
Water Monitoring	Revision:	0
	Page:	8 of 11

### 3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: T	<b>TET Member</b>	Responsibilities
------------	-------------------	------------------

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5		
Lizo Ntila	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Sindiso Rapudi	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Azola Boja	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
John Selepe	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5		
Lizo Ntila	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Sindiso Rapudi	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Azola Boja	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
John Selepe	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Surface and Ground	Document No.:	HSTTPMM073
Water Monitoring	Revision:	0
	Page:	9 of 11

# 3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

### 3.5.1 Risks

### Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	

# Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Surface and Ground	Document No.:	HSTTPMM073
Water Monitoring	Revision:	0
	Page:	10 of 11

# 3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

# Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	

### Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	

Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Surface and Ground	Unique Identifier:	HSTTPMM073
Water Monitoring	Revision:	0
	Page:	11 of 11

### 4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
February 2024	0		Required for the 5-year surface and ground water monitoring contract

#### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.